CHAPTER 5 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

INTRODUCTION

Chapter 5 describes consultation and coordination during preparation of this EIS. Consultation, coordination, and public involvement are integral in identifying relevant issues and concerns and to ensure issues are addressed. Formulation of issues was achieved through public meetings and workshops, agency meetings, individual contacts, news releases, and Federal Register notices.

PUBLIC SCOPING

Public scoping is part of the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR 1501.7) requirements for preparing an environmental impact statement. Scoping helps determine the range of issues and opportunities considered in developing Alternatives and assessing environmental effects. The scoping process must be open to the public and include state, local, and tribal governments and affected Federal agencies. According to NPS Director's Order 12, Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis and Decision Making, scoping objectives are

• Involve as many interested parties as possible in the environmental review process

- Provide clear, easily understood, factual information to potentially affected parties
- Provide meaningful and timely opportunities for public input
- Identify, consider, and evaluate issues raised by interested parties to prepare the plan and EIS
- Identify, and eliminate from detailed study, insignificant issues
- Consider public comments throughout the decision-making and review process

The process used during public scoping, consultation, and coordination for this EIS is described below.

On January 25, 2006, NPS and FAA published a Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Actions to Substantially Restore Natural Quiet to Grand Canyon National Park and Public Scoping" in the Federal Register (71 FR 4192).

During the ensuing 90-day public scoping period NPS and FAA mailed scoping letters and held three public scoping meetings (details below). The purpose of the letter and meetings was to provide information on the project and solicit public input on issues to be addressed in this EIS. Public scoping ended April 27, 2006.

Written responses to the scoping letter and comments from public meetings helped identify issues and concerns, a suitable range of Alternatives, and environmental impacts to address in this EIS.

Public Input to the Planning Process

A public scoping letter dated January 25, 2006, was mailed to members of the public identified by the NPS as those who normally receive notification of park NEPA actions. Federal, state, and local governmental agencies, affiliated American Indian Tribes, and air-tour operators in the region also received the scoping letter.

A similar notice was then published in three Arizona and one Nevada newspaper February 3, 2006 to February 8, 2006. A news release was emailed on January 25, 2006, to an NPS list of media contacts. The same media contacts were emailed a calendar announcement approximately one month later.

During the scoping period the NPS and FAA invited the public, agencies, and other interested parties to provide comments, suggestions, and input regarding but not limited to

- The scope, issues, and concerns related to development of proposed and Alternative actions at GCNP that provide for Substantial Restoration of Natural Quiet and experience of the park and protection of public health and safety from significant adverse effects associated with all aircraft overflights
- Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions which, when considered with any Alternatives, may result in significant cumulative impacts
- Potential Alternatives

Comments were received in the following formats

- Oral comments to a stenographer during open house meetings
- Comments written on flip charts during open house meetings
- Comments submitted via the Docket Management System of the U.S. Department of Transportation
- Written comments accepted via comment card and email

5 6 7

8

9

1

2

3

4

A total 1,267 responses were received from individuals, organizations, and other interested parties. These comments addressed the scope, issues, and concerns related to the EIS including substantial restoration of natural quiet, visitor experiences, and protection of public health and safety in GCNP. Appendix C contains a summary of comments received.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

Public Scoping Meetings

NPS and FAA organized and managed a series of three scheduled public meetings, held on these dates and in these communities

February 21, 2006
February 22, 2006
February 23, 2006
February 23, 2006
Hoenix, AZ
Flagstaff, AZ
Las Vegas, NV

17 18 19

20

Meetings were structured as open houses. Information about the EIS process was presented through posters and handouts. Attendees were invited to submit comments either in writing using a comment form or verbally via a provided audio recorder.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Review and Evaluation of Public Scoping Comments

Members of the planning team read every submission, identified specific comments in each submission, and coded them according to developed criteria. A coding structure was developed in a database to help sort comments as substantive or nonsubstantive then separate them into general headings. CEQ regulations state that a Final EIS must respond to all substantive comments on a Draft EIS. CEQ regulations and guidance do not define the term substantive. National Park Service guidance states a comment is considered substantive if it raises specific issues or concerns regarding the project or the study process, but not if it merely expresses support for or opposition to the project or a particular Alternative.

29 30 31

Major issues raised during scoping

- Air-tour noise
- Natural resource impacts
- Wilderness impacts
- Economic impacts related to air tours
- Appropriate management and regulation
- Various management strategies for consideration in Alternatives

- Planning process concerns
- Ground-based visitor experience
- Air-tour visitor experience
- Cultural resource impacts
- Tribal concerns
- Air-tour safety

National Park Service NEPA guidance states that substantive comments "(a) question, with reasonable basis, the accuracy of information in the EIS; (b) question, with reasonable basis, the adequacy of environmental analysis; (c) present reasonable Alternatives other than those presented in the EIS; [or] (d) cause changes or revisions in the proposal." NPS guidance also states that "[c]omments in favor of or against the proposed action or Alternatives, or comments that only agree or disagree with NPS policy, are not considered substantive." See NPS Director's Order 12, Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis and Decision Making, Section 4.6, Environmental Impact Statements—The Final EIS (Jan. 8, 2001)

Organizations and Agencies Consulted

2 In addition to public scoping, during the NEPA decision-making processes Federal agencies are required to consult

with American Indian tribes and Federal and state agencies and entities due to jurisdictional responsibilities (40 CFR

4 1502.25).

1

3

7

14

17

5 6 **Tribal Consultations**

- In keeping with provisions of NEPA, the National Historic Preservation Act, NPS Management Policies 2006,
- 8 Executive Memorandum on Government-to-Government Relations with Native American Tribal Governments;
- 9 Executive Orders 13007 and 13175; 512 Department of the Interior Manual 2; and Director's Order 71,
- 10 Relationships with American Indian Tribes, the following affiliated American Indian Tribes were consulted
- 11 regarding this EIS
- 12 • Havasupai Tribe 13
 - Hopi Tribe
 - Hualapai Tribe
- 15 • Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians
- 16 • Las Vegas Paiute Tribe
 - Moapa Band of Paiute Indians
- 18 • Navajo Nation
- 19 • Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah
- 20 • Pueblo of Zuni
- 21 • San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe
 - Yavapai-Apache Nation

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Federal agencies routinely consult with tribal and other governments during NEPA and other processes. For management of GCNP overflights, governmental discussions and consultations have been ongoing for many years. Specific to this EIS process, the NPS and FAA issued a Consultation Plan in January 2006 outlining procedures for establishing and maintaining government-to-government relationships among affiliated tribes and Federal agencies. The plan stressed that consultation meetings would be scheduled to provide maximum opportunities for tribal input and information sharing throughout all project phases. Opportunities were extended to all affiliated tribes and Federal agencies to participate in the EIS process as cooperating agencies. The Navajo Nation and Bureau of Indian Affairs expressed interest in cooperating agency status, and separate cooperating agency agreements were drafted and went through several iterations of review and revision. However, the agreements were never finalized (Table

33 34 35

The Havasupai Tribe, Hopi Tribe, Hualapai Tribe, and Navajo Nation participated as members of the Grand Canyon Working Group established to address overflight issues.

36 37 38

Table 5.1 below details tribal consultations that occurred 2006 through 2010, and includes consulting parties, dates, nature of discussions, and meeting locations.

39 40 41

Arizona State Historic Preservation Office

NHPA requires agencies to consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) regarding undertakings that may affect historic properties. Formal consultation regarding this EIS was initiated with SHPO on January 31, 2006. Consultations are ongoing.

44 45 46

47

48

42

43

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

USFWS was a member of the Grand Canyon Working Group and attended all meetings. Informal consultation was initiated in July 2005 with the USFWS to begin discussions regarding effects to threatened and endangered species. Consultations are ongoing.

TABLE 5.1 TRIBAL CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FLIGHTS IN THE VICINITY OF GCNP

TABLE 5.1 TRIBA	L CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FLIGH	ITS IN THE VICINITY OF GCNP
Consulting Parties	Date/Location	Topics
Cooperating Agency status for the EIS offered to all affiliated tribes associated with GCNP and to the BIA in conjunction with a Draft tribal consultation plan	2006	Navajo Nation, Hualapai Tribe, and BIA expressed interest in cooperating agency status for the EIS, but the Hualapai Tribe withdrew. A General Agreement was Drafted between the NPS, FAA, and Navajo Nation, and a separate agreement between NPS, FAA, and BIA. Multiple Drafts were reviewed, comments made and addressed, followed by a new cycle of reviews and comments. Because the project moved beyond primary tasks identified in the Draft General Agreement, the agreements were never finalized. Rather, the BIA continued as a member of the project team, and the Navajo Nation continued as a member of the Grand Canyon Working Group, as well as through
		government-to-government and NHPA Section 106
Havasupai Tribe Hopi Tribe	Grand Canyon Working Group meetings	consultations Various issues, Alternatives, and topics related to overflights
Hualapai Tribe Navajo Nation as members of the Grand Canyon Working Group	Jul 13-14 2005 Flagstaff AZ Oct 26 - 27 2005 Tusayan AZ Jan 31-Feb 2 2006 Phoenix AZ Mar 20-22 2006 Las Vegas NV May 31-Jun 2 2006 Scottsdale AZ Jul 25-27 2006 Phoenix AZ Sep 27-28 2006 Phoenix AZ Dec 12-13 2006 Scottsdale AZ Jun 12-13 2007 Scottsdale AZ Sep 19-20 2007 Scottsdale AZ Dec 4-5 2007 Scottsdale AZ Jul 28 2009 Flagstaff AZ	
All eleven tribes affiliated to GCNP	January 2006	NPS and FAA issued a Consultation Plan outlining procedures for establishing and maintaining government-to-government relationships among affiliated tribes and Federal agencies for the project. The plan stressed consultation meetings would be scheduled to provide maximum opportunities for tribal input and information sharing throughout all project phases. Opportunities were extended to affiliated tribes and Federal agencies to participate in the EIS process as cooperating agencies
Havasupai Tribe Hualapai Tribe Navajo Nation (Chapters: Window Rock and Tuba City, Cameron, Bodaway/Gap) Pueblo of Zuni Yavapai Apache Nation NPS (GCNP) FAA BIA DOI Solicitor's Office	April 19-20, 2006 Flagstaff, AZ	Pan-tribal meeting to establish protocols for consultation with affiliated tribes. Among items discussed were recommended consultation approaches among various tribes and tribal offices; opportunities for participation in the EIS process; role of consulting agencies; and area of potential effect based on noise monitoring data and analysis of noise modeling information for flight routes
Hualapai Tribe NPS (GCNP) FAA DOI Solicitor's Office	May 12, 2006 Peach Springs, AZ	Discussion included status update on EIS and Alternative flight routes; agreements for information transfer among consulting parties; defining restoration of natural quiet; retention of existing Hualapai air-tour exemptions; quiet-aircraft technology incentives; and tribal involvement opportunities

TABLE 5.1 TRIBAL CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FLIGHTS IN THE VICINITY OF GCNP

TABLE 5.1 TRIBA	AL CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FLIG	HTS IN THE VICINITY OF GCNP
Consulting Parties	Date/Location	Topics
Havasupai Tribe NPS (GCNP)	May 13, 2006 Supai, AZ	Tribal representatives expressed concern for low- flying planes observed over tribal lands in Grand
FAA		Canyon. FAA provided contact information to report
DOI Solicitor's Office.		incidents of suspected unauthorized low-flying
		aircraft. Tribal members expressed desire to relocate
		air- tour routes that enter Supai, and possible seasonal
		restrictions on Dragon Corridor use
Navajo Nation	August 29, 2006 Flagstaff, AZ	The preliminary range of EIS reviewed. Air-tour
NPS (GCNP)		corridors discussed and potential modifications to
FAA		routes (including seasonal limitations) that could affect
		Alternatives and associated impacts. Tribal
		representatives indicated supported for flights into the
		Navajo Nation provided tour operators commit to
	1 1 20 200 FI + CC 4 7	eventual phased adoption of quiet technology
Hopi Tribe	August 29, 2006 Flagstaff, AZ	Discussion included history of Grand Canyon
NPS (GCNP)		overflights; continuing opportunities for Hopi
FAA		involvement in EIS process; role of Grand Canyon
		Working Group; range of preliminary Alternatives;
		consideration of high-altitude flights; and definitions
		of natural quiet restoration. Hopi representative emphasized tribal desire to provide input into EIS
		process and development of Alternatives
Navajo Nation	September 5, 2007 Window Rock, AZ	Consultation meeting; project-area maps presented
NPS (GCNP)	September 5, 2007 window Rock, AZ	showing existing air-tour routes and representative
FAA		culturally sensitive areas where noise impacts may be
Parsons Corporation		of concern. EIS Alternatives maps of proposed flight
(Denver)		routes and SFRA boundary also presented. Navajo
(= 333.32)		representatives expressed concern over Alternative C
		(the Navajo Alternative proposed by the Cameron
		Chapter of the Navajo Nation) which they indicated
		was not proposed or fully analyzed/endorsed by the
		Navajo Nation. They did not favor air-tour flights over
		the Little Colorado River, noting sacred sites and
		traditional use areas located at the Colorado and Little
		Colorado Rivers confluence. Noise from low-flying
		helicopters and other aircraft destroy the canyon's
		integrity and disrupt offerings and other traditional
		activities carried out year-round. FAA representatives
		noted air tour routes could be modified to avoid going
		over the confluence area, and elements of various Alternatives could be modified or combined in the
Hualapai Tribe	September 6, 2007 Peach Springs, AZ	Preferred Alternative selection process Meeting held as part of the Hualapai Tribal Council's
NPS (GCNP)	September 6, 2007 reach springs, AZ	regular scheduled meeting. Project-area maps
FAA		presented by the NPS and FAA showing EIS
		Alternatives, existing flight routes, flight free zones,
		and representative culturally sensitive areas. It was
		explained that no Preferred Alternative had been
		selected, and further analysis (including metrics for
		modeling aircraft noise) was underway to assist the
		Grand Canyon Working Group with recommending
		elements of a Preferred Alternative. NPS and FAA
		representatives reaffirmed Hualapai tribal interests and
		the air-space exemption at the West End of the Study
		Area

TABLE 5.1 TRIBAL CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FLIGHTS IN THE VICINITY OF GCNP

	L CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FL	
Consulting Parties	Date/Location	Topics
Hopi Tribe	September 6, 2007 Flagstaff, AZ	Project-area maps presented by the NPS and FAA
NPS (GCNP)	2 17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	showing EIS Alternatives, existing flight routes, flight
FAA		
ΓAA		free zones, and representative culturally sensitive
		areas. The Hopi representative expressed cultural
		concern for the river confluence area, Salt
		Mines/pilgrimage trail, and Sipapuni (origin place).
		NPS and FAA representatives affirmed recognition
		that the area (identified as a traditional cultural
		property) is culturally sensitive, and discussed efforts
		underway through the EIS process to evaluate and
		control air-tour noise impacts
Havasupai Tribe	January 10-11, 2008 GCNP	Various options and elements of the Preferred
Hualapai Tribe	, ,	Alternative reviewed. Tribal representatives and
Hopi Tribe		agency staff flew certain Grand Canyon air-tour
Navajo Nation		routes. Hualapai representative expressed concern with
Kaibab Band of Paiute		proximity of routes near traditional cultural properties.
Indians		GCNP staff suggested changes proposed by Navajo
BIA		representative to Alternative C would best be
DOI		incorporated into the Preferred Alternative
NPS (GCNP)		meorporated into the Freterica Atternative
FAA		
Letter from Tim Begay	March 26, 2008 Letter	Mr. Begay referred to the air tour along Zuni Point
Navajo Nation,		Corridor tribal representatives flew during January 10
Traditional Culture		/11, 2008, consultation meetings. Mr. Begay again
Program to GCNP		expressed Navajo Nation desire to have air-tour routes
Trogram to Gervi		relocated west of the Colorado River/Little Colorado
		River confluence. Re-locating routes away from the
		confluence area would avoid impacts to traditional
		cultural properties significant to the Navajo, Hopi, and
		other tribes, and would restore quiet to the sacred area
Navajo Nation	May 30, 2008 Window Rock, AZ	Meeting part of a tribal consultation to clarify Nation's
NPS (GCNP)	Widy 50, 2000 Willdow Rock, MZ	preference for a new air-tour route for helicopters
, ,		
FAA		connecting with the Green-1 route to the east to
		provide a connection between tours over the park and
		proposed tours of the Navajo Nation (especially in the
		vicinity of the Little Colorado River gorge)
Recipients	December 19, 2008 Letter	GCNP requested input on routes to be modeled for
	December 19, 2000 Letter	
Timothy Begay and		Alternative G from Tusayan and Cameron, with
Tony Joe, Navajo Nation		detailed maps attached
Navajo Nation	January 28, 2009 Flagstaff, AZ	Government-to-government tribal meeting with goal to
Havasupai Tribe		get tribal feedback on overflights.
Hualapai Tribe		Presentation of Alternative G. Tribes were provided
Kaibab Band of Paiutes		opportunity to meet with park management
Moapa Band of Paiutes		individually
NPS (GCNP)		
FAA		
Recipients	February 2009 Letter	Correspondence included text of NPS Preferred
Tribal representatives	2007 20001	Alternative, Alternative G, and notes from Jan 28
present at January, 28,		meeting
2009 meeting		
Navajo Nation	March 2, 2009 Gap, AZ	Discussion on Navajo Nation economic development
NPS (GCNP)		initiatives adjacent to GCNP and Alternative G
FAA		
Kaibab Band of Paiute	September 2009 Fredonia, AZ	Review NPS Alternatives and EIS schedule. Tribe
	September 2009 Fredoma, AZ	
Indians		appreciated latest maps and were pleased with
NPS (GCNP)		avoidance of particularly sensitive cultural areas
Zuni Tribe	October 2009 Pueblo of Zuni	Review NPS Alternatives and EIS schedule. Zuni
NPS (GCNP)		asked questions and reiterated interest in flights being
		pulled away from the confluence
	<u> </u>	paned away from the confidence

TABLE 5.1 TRIBAL CONSULTATIONS FOR SPECIAL FLIGHTS IN THE VICINITY OF GCNP

Consulting Parties	Date/Location	Topics
Navajo Nation NPS (GCNP)	October 2009 Window Rock, AZ	Review NPS Alternatives and EIS schedule. Large scale maps and supporting information provided. Navajo Nation expressed overarching interest in economic development; not wanting efforts on overflights to impede it
Hopi Tribe NPS (GCNP)	November 2009 Hopi Reservation, AZ	Review NPS Draft Preferred Alternative and EIS schedule. A Hopi follow-up letter (November 24, 2009) stated they intend to support the Preferred Alternative given it adequately addresses Hopi concerns
Hualapai Tribe NPS (GCNP)	November 2009 Peach Springs, AZ	Meeting with full Tribal Council to review NPS Draft Preferred Alternative and EIS schedule. Tribe expressed concerns about traditional cultural properties, and NPS committed to continue to work with Hualapai to re-identify and minimize impacts to important cultural site locations
Recipients Las Vegas Tribe of Paiute Indians Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe Havasupai Tribe Moapa Band of Paiute Indians	December 2009 Letter	Formal GCNP correspondence letter providing tribes who had not recently met with the park opportunity to do so prior to EIS publication
Recipient Tim Begay, Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Department	January 4, 2010 Visit	Janet Cohen hand delivered two large-scale maps to Tim Begay of the NNHPD while he was at the Flagstaff Coconino GCNP Offices on other business. He was going to give one of the two maps to Ray Russell at Navajo Nation Parks and Recreation
Navajo Nation NPS (GCNP) FAA	March 8, 2010 GCNP	Discuss Draft NPS Preferred Alternative. A large-scale map and other supporting information provided to Navajo Nation
Havasupai Tribe NPS (GCNP)	March 15, 2010 Supai Village, AZ	Provided an overflights status report on Alternatives and EIS timeline

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Grand Canyon Working Group

The Grand Canyon Working Group was established in 2005 as a subgroup within the National Parks Overflights Advisory Group (NPOAG) to provide advice and recommendations to NPS and FAA regarding implementation of the 1987 Overflights Act with respect to Grand Canyon. The Grand Canyon Working Group consisted of co-chairs from the NPS and FAA and representatives from air-tour operators, environmental groups, American Indian Tribes, commercial and general-aviation interests, recreational interests, and other Federal agencies. Information about the Grand Canyon Working Group is available online at

 $http://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/arc/programs/grand_canyon_overflights/.$

10 11

Meeting dates include

- July 13-14, 2005, Flagstaff, AZ
- October 26 27, 2005, Tusayan, AZ
- January 31-February 2, 2006, Phoenix, AZ
- March 20-22, 2006, Las Vegas, NV
- May 31-June 2, 2006, Scottsdale, AZ
- July 25-27, 2006, Phoenix, AZ

- September 27-28, 2006, Phoenix, AZ
- December 12-13, 2006, Scottsdale, AZ
- June 12-13, 2007, Scottsdale, AZ
- September 19-20, 2007, Scottsdale, AZ
- December 4-5, 2007, Scottsdale, AZ
- July 28, 2009, Flagstaff, AZ

1 2 3

LIST OF RECIPIENTS

This EIS will be posted on the internet where it can be downloaded from the NPS Planning Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) website at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/grca

4 5 6

DVDs and/or paper copies of this EIS or a notice of its availability for review and comment may also be sent to

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

Department of Agriculture

Coconino National Forest

Kaibab National Forest

Department of the Interior

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Bureau of Land Management Arizona Strip

Bureau of Reclamation

Fish and Wildlife Service

Geological Survey

National Park Service

Bryce Canyon National Park

Canyonlands National Park

Flagstaff Area Parks

Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (NPS peer review)

Glen Canyon National Recreation Area

Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument

Grand Teton National Park (NPS peer review)

Intermountain Regional Office

Lake Mead National Recreation Area

Natural Sounds Program

Pipe Springs National Monument

Zion National Park

Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES

State of Arizona

Attorney General

Office of the Governor

State Historic Preservation Office

Department of Environmental Quality

Department of Transportation and Planning

Game and Fish Department

State Land Department

City Government

City of Flagstaff (AZ)

City of Fredonia (AZ)

City of Kanab (UT)

City of Las Vegas (NV)

City of Page (AZ)

City of Phoenix (AZ)

City of Tusayan (AZ)

City of Williams (AZ)

County

Clark County Department of Aviation (NV)

Coconino County Board of Supervisors (AZ)

Mohave County (AZ)

Local Libraries

Flagstaff, AZ

Las Vegas, NV

Phoenix, AZ

Northern Arizona University, AZ

CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATIONS

Arizona

Office of Representative Jeff Flake

Office of Representative Trent Franks

Office of Representative Gabrielle Giffords

Office of Representative Paul Gosar

Office of Representative Raul Grijalva

Office of Representative Ed Pastor

Office of Representative Ben Quayle

Office of Representative David Schweikert

Office of Senator John Kyl

Office of Senator John McCain

Nevada

Office of Representative Shelley Berkley

Office of Representative Joe Heck

Office of Representative Dean Heller

Office of Senator Harry Reid

Office of Senator John Ensign

TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS

Havasupai Tribe

Hopi Tribe

Hualapai Tribe

Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians

Las Vegas Paiute Tribe

Moapa Band of Paiute Indians

Navajo Nation

Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah

Pueblo of Zuni

San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe

Yavapai-Apache Nation

ORGANIZATIONS AND BUSINESSES

A.V.I. Inc. dba Air Vegas

Air Bridge, Inc. Air Grand Canyon

Air Star Helicopters

Air Transport Association of America Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association

Airports Council International – North America American Association of Airport Executives

Arizona Pilots Association Arizona Raft Adventures Arizona Wilderness Coalition

Aviation Ventures, Inc. dba Vision Air

Deer Valley Pilot Association Delaware North Parks Services

Eagle Canyon Airlines, Inc. dba Scenic Airlines Environmental and International Programs,

Air Transport Association of America

Grand Canyon Airlines
Grand Canyon Airport
Grand Canyon Association
Grand Canyon Field Institute
Grand Canyon Helicopters

Grand Canyon Hikers and Backpackers Association

Grand Canyon Private Boaters Association

Grand Canyon Resort Corporation Grand Canyon River Guides Association Grand Canyon River Outfitters Association

Grand Canyon Trust

Grand Canyon Wildlands Council

Heli USA Airways Inc.

Helicopter Association International

King Airlines, Inc.

Las Vegas Helicopters, Inc. Marble Canyon Outfitters Maverick Helicopter Tours

National Business Aviation Association, Inc. National Parks Conservation Association

National Parks Visitors Alliance

Papillon Airways, Inc. dba Papillon Grand Canyon

Helicopters

Paul Revere Transportation

Sierra Club

Sky Harbor Center, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical

University

Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance

Sundance Helicopters, Inc.
The Nature Conservancy
The Wilderness Society
US Air Tour Association
Vista Helicopters, Inc.
Western River Expeditions
Westwind Aviation, Inc.

Xanterra Parks & Resorts

Individuals

List on file at the Office of Planning and Compliance, Grand Canyon National Park

4 5 6

1

3

PREPARERS AND CONTRIBUTORS

7 8

All individuals who helped prepare this Draft Environmental Impact Statement or who contributed to its preparation are listed below (Table 5.2).

9 10 11

National Park Service team members with experience in Grand Canyon National Park resources met frequently throughout Overflights EIS development. The Federal Aviation Administration's team, which included experienced aviation safety members, also met frequently with the NPS throughout EIS development. The National Park Service's Denver Service Center was designated in 2005 as a contractor for EIS preparation.

13 14

12

14 15

16

17

18

Parsons Corporation was hired as a subcontractor by NPS, Denver Service Center, to prepare the EIS. The Department of Transportation's Volpe Transportation Systems Center was contracted to perform modeling and to assist with EIS sections. Harvey Economics was hired by the subcontractor, Parsons Corporation, to produce a section of the EIS. Amy Heuslein from the Bureau of Indian Affairs was part of the team to review the EIS as a tribal coordinator.

TABLE 5.2 PREPARERS NPS TEAM MEMBERS

TABLE 3.2	TRETAKERS INTOTEAM ME	•	
Name	Title	Qualifications	Sections Worked On
Jill Beshears	Environmental Protection Specialist Office of Planning and Compliance GCNP	10 Years NEPA specialist	Entire document
Jennifer Carpenter	Grand Teton National Park Environmental Protection Specialist	NEPA specialist	Peer Review entire document
Janet Cohen	Tribal Consultation Coordinator		Reviewed entire document
Rick Ernenwein	Outdoor Recreation Planner Office of Planning and Compliance GCNP	30 years Federal land management, planning, and NEPA experience	Entire document
Kurt Fristrup	Natural Sounds, Senior Acoustician, NPS		Soundscapes, Wildlife
Mary Killeen	Chief, Office of Planning and Compliance, GCNP		Reviewed entire document
Catherine Lentz	Hawaii Volcano National Park Environmental Protection Specialist		Peer Review entire document
Steve Martin	GCNP Superintendent		Reviewed entire document
Carla Mattix	Department of the Interior Solicitor		Reviewed entire document
Vicki McCusker	Natural Resources Planner Project Manager, NPS		Soundscapes
Ken McMullen	Environmental Protection Specialist Office of Planning and Compliance GCNP (retired)		Reviewed Chapters 1-3
Gopaul Noojibail	Deputy Chief, Office of Planning and Compliance, GCNP		Entire document
Bruce Peacock	NPS Economist		Reviewed Chapter 4
Jane Rodgers	Deputy Chief, Socio-Cultural Resources, Science and Resource Management, GCNP		Reviewed Chapter 3 & 4
Denice Swanke	Environmental Protection Specialist Office of Planning and Compliance GCNP	20 years Federal land management experience; 10 years NEPA experience	Entire document
Karen Trevino	National Sounds Program Manager Fort Collins, NPS		Soundscapes
Chris Turk	Regional Environmental Coordinator NPS Region		Reviewed entire document for Intermountain Region
Gigi Wright	Writer/Editor, Visitor and Resource Protection, GCNP		Chapter 1-5, Appendices
Greer Chesher	Writer/Editor, Office of Planning and Compliance GCNP		Entire document

622

1 2

Chapter 5

TABLE 5.2 PREPARERS DENVER SERVICE CENTER INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM

Name	Title	Qualifications	Sections Worked On
Nell Blodgett	GIS Specialist	B.A.(Geography); M.S.(GIS Science); expertise in Geographic Information Systems (GIS); 5 years with the National Park Service	Chapter 2, Google Earth Application, Maps for FAA Safety Review
Kerri Cahill	Visitor Use Technical Specialist	B.A. (Environmental Policy), M.S.P. (Environmental Planning), PhD (Natural Resource Recreation Management); expertise in Visitor Use and Experience management; 7 years with the National Park Service; 5 years as park planner for Florida State Parks and private consulting firms	Chapters 3 & 4
Greg Cody	Technical Specialist for Cultural Resources	B.A/M.A. (History); expertise in cultural resources and compliance with NHPA; 18 years with the National Park Service	Chapters 1, 3, 4
Aaron Gagne	Project Manager	B.S. (Environmental Planning), M.C.R.P. (Master of City and Regional Planning); expertise in planning, economics, finance and contracting; less than 1 year with the National Park Service, 14 years with local, county, state and other Federal agencies, 5 years with planning, consulting, and private development firms	Chapters 1, 2, 3,5
BJ Johnson	DSC Planning Division Chief	Master of Science in Environmental Science, BA in both Environmental Biology and Environmental Conservation, 25 years working within the professional disciplines of NEPA analysis and community planning	Entire document
David Kreger	Planning Branch Chief	B.S. (Environmental Resource Management); expertise in NEPA and natural resources management;19 years with the National Park Service and 12 years with environmental consulting firms	Entire document
Cynthia Nelson	Project Manager		Entire document through early 2009
Dan Niosi	Project Manager	B.A. (Environmental Studies-Natural Resources Management); Expertise in NEPA and natural resources management; less than one year with the National Park Service and 11 years with environmental consulting firms	Entire document
Michael Rees	Natural Resource Specialist	B.A. (Environmental Studies) and M.F.S. (Master of Forest Science); Expertise in NEPA, park planning, and wilderness; 19 years with the National Park Service and 8 years with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	Entire document
Paul Wharry	NEPA Technical Specialist	B.A. (Biology) Expertise in NEPA, and natural resources management; 5 years with the National Park Service and 11 years with environmental consulting firms; 13 years in academics	Entire document

 TABLE 5.2
 PREPARERS
 VOLPE CENTER

Name	Title	Qualifications	Sections Worked On
Cyndy	USDOT / Volpe Center, Acoustics		Chapter 4 analysis for Soundscape, reviewed Chapter 4,
Lee	Facility		Appendix D

623

ı	Table 5.2	Proparars	Parsons Cornorat	tion Interdisciplina	ry Toom	(Contractor)
L	1 able 5.2	Preparers	rarsons Corporat	non interdiscipina	ry ream ((Contractor)

Name	Title	Qualifications	Sections Worked On
Timberley Belish	Managing Scientist	B.S., Biology and M.S., Ecology and Evolution 16 years' experience	Document production coordination and NEPA requirement assurance for entire document. Focused writing for wildlife and T&E species.
Jacklyn Bryant	Environmental Planner	B.S., Natural Resource Management and M.S., Watershed Sciences 13 years' experience	Visitor Use and Experience
Gabriel Cosyleon	Scientist	B.S., Biology and M.S., Zoology 6 years' experience	Wildlife and T&E species support
Areg Gharabegian	Noise Specialist	B.S. and M.S., Mechanical Engineering 31 years' experience	Noise Analysis and technical support to writers
Ed Harvey	Economist, Harvey Economics	B.A., Economics and M.S., Economics 35 years' experience	Socioeconomics, Air Operator Impacts, Land Use
John Hoesterey	Project Manager	B.A., Zoology and M.A., Geography and Environmental Science 34 years' experience	Project Management
Scott Lowry	Writer/Editor	B.S., Psychology; J.D.; M.A. and Ph.D., English 19 years' experience	Writing/editing support, Park Operations
Alexa Mudgett	Landscape Architect/Graphics Specialist	B.A., Environmental Studies and M.S., Landscape Architecture 8 years' experience	Wilderness and graphic production
Diane Rhodes	Cultural Resource Specialist	M.A., Anthropology/Archeology 31 years' experience	Cultural Resources
Jan Snyder	Writer/Editor	B.S., Zoology 35 years' experience	Writing and editing
Bruce Snyder	Technical Director	B.S., Biology and M.S., Wildlife Biology 40 years' experience	Technical Direction, QA/QC Review
Susan Walker	Economist, Harvey Economics	B.A., Forestry and M.S., Forest Economics 7 years' experience	Socioeconomics, Air Operator Impacts, Land Use

CONTRIBUTORS

TABLE 5.2 CONTRIBUTORS FAA TEAM MEMBERS

Name	Title	Qualifications	Sections Worked On
Barry Brayer	Manager Special Programs Staff, NEPA & Tribal Consultation Project Lead, FAA	NEPA, 24 years, Tribal, 18 years, BS Engineering	Entire document
Rebecca Cointin	Operations Research Analyst, Noise Division, Office of Environment and Energy, FAA	Aviation Noise, 8 years	Noise Analysis
Norman Elrod	Economist, Flight Standards Part 135/136 Air Carrier Operations Branch, FAA	Air Tour Industry and Operations Database Analyst, 14 years, PhD, Economics	Chapter 2 and various sections Chapters 3 & 4
Tina Gatewood	Manager, Environmental Programs, System Operation Airspace and AIM, FAA	NEPA, 19 years, Tribal, 14 years	Entire document
Carol Gaelick	Economist		Reviewed Socioeconomics
Raquel Girvin	Manager, Noise Division, Office of Environment and Energy, FAA		
Paul Joly	Air Tour Safety Specialist, Las Vegas Flight Standards District Office, FAA	Air-tour operational Safety and Analysis: 15 years. NEPA team: 10 years. Tribal: 10 years	
Keith Lusk	Program Manager, Special Programs Staff, Western Pacific Region, FAA	NEPA, 23 years	Entire document
Lynne Pickard	Deputy Director, Office of Environment and Energy, FAA	NEPA with emphasis on aircraft noise impacts and mitigation, compatible land use, DOT Section 4(f), 34 years	Entire document

TABLE 5.2 CONTRIBUTORS BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

Nai	me	Title	Qualifications	Sections Worked On
Am	ny Heuslein	Tribal Coordination		Entire document

1

1 2

GLOSSARY

Acoustics

3

National park air-tour planning and the EIS process require use of technical terms. Some of the most important are defined in this section. Terms below in **bold italics** are defined separately in this glossary.

4 5

6

7

8 9

Acoustic Zone Areas with similar vegetation, terrain, animals, and weather likely have similar acoustic characteristics including sound sources and sound attenuation characteristics. These areas are referred to as acoustic zones and may be helpful in describing acoustic conditions in areas with similar characteristics

The science of **sound**

10 11

12 13 that detracts from visitor experience or resource condition, as opposed to a beneficial effect which is generally a 14 positive change in resource condition, a positive change in visitor experience, or a change that moves a resource or 15 visitor experience toward a desired condition (consistent with the purpose and/or management objectives of the

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32

33 34 35

36 37 38

39

40 41 42

43

44 45 46

47 48 49

50 51 52

53 54 55

56

Audiogram

affected park land or other area) **Airway** A corridor of controlled airspace whose centerline is established by radio navigational aids, Low-altitude airways (3,000 to 18,000 feet MSL) are identified by number with the letter V as a prefix. High altitude airways

Generally a change that moves a resource or visitor experience away from a desired condition or

Base Year and Ten-Year Forecast Peak and Off-Peak Season All Scenarios

(above 18,000 feet MSL) are known as Jet airways and are identified by number with J as a prefix

Allocation 14 CFR 93.303 (regulations that implement the 2000 National Parks Air Tour Management Act) states that an Allocation is an authorization to conduct a commercial air tour in Grand Canyon National Park (GCNP) Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA). Allocations are not a property interest, but an operating privilege subject to absolute FAA control. FAA retains the right to redistribute, reduce, or revoke allocations

Ambient Noise Total sum of noise from all sources in a given place and time. Also known as Existing Ambient Noise: see also Natural Ambient Noise

Ambient Sound Conditions Many different Soundscapes occur in national parks. In some areas natural sounds predominate, while in others both natural and non-natural sounds occur. To understand and manage Soundscapes, ambient conditions for different Soundscapes need to be acoustically described. Definitions of common ambient sound conditions are provided below

Ambient Sound, Existing All sounds in a given area (all natural and non-natural sounds)

Ambient Sound, Natural All natural sounds in a given area, excluding all non-natural sounds. Natural ambient sound is considered synonymous with the term natural quiet, although natural ambient sound is more appropriate because nature is often not quiet

Amplitude Instantaneous magnitude of an oscillating quantity such as sound pressure. The peak amplitude is the maximum value

Reduction of sound intensity by various means (e.g., air, humidity, and porous materials) Attenuation

Area of Audibility Area within which a specific sound or sounds is audible

Audibility Audibility is the ability of animals and humans with normal hearing to hear a given sound, and is affected by the animal's hearing ability, other simultaneous interfering sounds or stimuli, by sound frequency content and amplitude, and whether the sound contains information the animal has learned to pay attention to or ignore

Graph showing hearing acuity as a function of frequency and amplitude

Average Sound Level Also called *Equivalent Sound Level*, it is the logarithmic energy average of aircraft sound pressure levels in *A-weighted decibels (dBA)* experienced over a given period of time (for this EIS, the 12-hour day described in Chapter 4, Methodology

A-Weighted Decibels (dBA) System for measuring *sound* energy designed to represent the human ear's response to sound. Energy at frequencies more readily detected by the human ear is more heavily weighted in the measurement, while frequencies less well detected are assigned lower weights. A-weighted sound measurements are commonly used in studies where the human response to sound is the object of the analysis

A-Weighting See Weighting

Bar Ten Generally a reference to helicopter operations conducted between Bar Ten airstrip and the boat pullout at the base of Whitmore Canyon. Bar Ten Ranch is located nine miles north of Grand Canyon, in Grand Canyon—Parashant National Monument, 80 miles southeast of St. George, Utah.

Base Year 2005 is the Base Year used for noise modeling in this EIS. The best available data as of the end of 2005 is used as the base for noise modeling for the Alternatives. Since 2005, the 2005 database has been checked against data from subsequent years, and although there are some differences, given all factors contributing to those differences, the 2005 database has proven consistent enough to continue as a reasonable base for evaluating impacts of the Alternatives in this EIS

Beneficial Effect Generally a positive change in resource condition, a positive change in visitor experience, or a change that moves a resource or visitor experience toward a desired condition (consistent with the purpose and/or management objectives of the affected park land or other area), as opposed to an *adverse effect* which is generally a change that moves the resource or visitor experience away from a desired condition or that detracts from visitor experience or resource condition

Central area See Map 3.2

Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA) Serious efforts to control air pollution began in California in the 1950s in response to the southern coast's increasingly worsening smog problem. By the 1960s the U.S. Government began significant and continuing regulatory efforts to reduce emissions. As the nation's air quality continued to deteriorate, Congress passed the Clean Air Act of 1963. This Act has evolved through four major revisions, the most recent being Amendments of 1990. The result of these ongoing efforts is an evolving ambient air pollution control strategy based on the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and a provision that states would develop implementation plans to meet and maintain the standards

Commercial Aviation Aviation industry's commercial sector consisting of air carriers providing passenger and cargo transportation for hire in domestic and international service. Commercial aviation includes air carriers that operate large passenger or cargo jets and regional/commuter/charter carriers operating smaller aircraft

Commercial Air-tours Advertised air-tour flights and charter flights offered by commercial air-tour operators. The category of air-tour operation to which annual allocations and daily caps apply

Contour See Noise Contours

Cooperating Agency Agency or tribal government with jurisdiction by law or has special expertise with respect to an environmental issue, and cooperatively works with the lead agency to prepare an environmental impact statement

Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)The Council on Environmental Quality coordinates Federal environmental efforts and works closely with agencies and other White House offices in the development of environmental policies and initiatives. CEQ was established within the Executive Office of the President by Congress as part of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and additional responsibilities were provided by the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970

Current Condition *Existing condition* or conditions prior to future development, which serve as a foundation for analysis

Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) Noise measure used to describe the Average Sound Level over a 24-hour period, typically an average day over the course of a year. In computing DNL, an extra weight of 10 *decibels* is assigned to noise occurring 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. to account for increased annoyance when *ambient Average*Sound Level are lower and people are trying to sleep. DNL may be determined for individual locations or expressed in noise contours

dBA See Weighting

Decibel (dB) Logarithmic measure of any measured physical quantity, and commonly used in sound pressure-level measurement. The decibel provides the possibility of representing a large span of signal levels in a simple manner as opposed to using the basic unit Pascal. The difference between the sound pressure for silence versus a loud sound is a factor of 1,000,000:1 or more; therefore, it is less cumbersome to use a small range of equivalent values: 0 to 130 decibels. *Amplitude* is the relative strength of a sound wave described in decibels. Amplitude is related to what we commonly call loudness or volume. An increase of 10 dBA represents a perceived (to human hearing) doubling of sound pressure level; meaning 20 dBA would be perceived as twice as loud as 10 dBA; 30 dBA would be perceived as four times louder than 10 dBA; 40 dBA as eight times louder than ten dBA, etc. Humans with normal hearing can hear sounds as low as 0 dB at 1,000 Hz.

Detectability Noise detected by an actively listening human on the ground. The measure of whether aircraft noise is audible in Grand Canyon National Park's backcountry areas

Direct Effect A direct effect is caused by an action and occurs in the same time and place, as opposed to an *indirect effect* which is caused by an action but is later in time or farther away but still reasonably foreseeable

East End See Map 3.2

EIS Planning Team The EIS Planning Team is made up of representatives from the NPS (Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Natural Sounds Program, Feet. Collins, CO; Denver Service Center [DSC], Denver, CO; Intermountain Regional Office, Denver, CO), FAA, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), Parsons Corporation (DSC subcontractor).

Elevator Flights (or Over the Edge Flights)

A helicopter descent from Grand Canyon West Airport to Colorado River pads conducted wholly on and within the Hualapai Reservation

Energy Equivalent Sound Level Level of a constant sound over a specific time period that has the same sound energy as the actual (unsteady) sound over the same period

Enplanements Number of passengers boarding an aircraft at an airport

En Route System That part of the *National Airspace System* where aircraft are operating between origin and destination airports

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) A detailed, concise document discussing significant environmental impacts resulting from a proposed Federal action; informs decision-makers and the public of reasonable Alternatives which would avoid or minimize adverse impacts. Public participation and consultation with other Federal, state, and local agencies is a cornerstone of the EIS process

Equivalent Sound Level (LAeq₁₂) Also called *Average Sound Level*, it is the logarithmic energy average of aircraft sound pressure levels in *A-weighted decibels (dBA)* experienced over a given period of time (for this EIS, the 12-hour day described in Chapter 4, Methodology

Events per Hour Number of times a non-natural sound source is heard, on average, in one hour (this may be specific to a particular human-caused sound or to all human-caused sounds)

1 **Existing Ambient Noise** See Ambient Noise; see also Natural Ambient Noise 2 3 **Existing Condition** See Current Condition 4 5 Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Federal regulations relating to aviation published as Title 14 of the 6 Code of Federal Regulations 7 8 Federal Interagency Committee on Aviation Noise (FICAN) Committee formed in 1993 to provide 9 forums for discussion of public and private sector proposals on aviation noise, and to identify and encourage needed 10 research. All Federal agencies concerned with aviation noise are represented on the committee, including the Department of Defense (Air Force, Army, Navy), Department of Interior (NPS), Department of Transportation 11 (FAA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and 12 13 Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 14 15 Number of times per second the sine wave of sound repeats itself. It can be expressed in cycles per Frequency 16 second or Hertz (Hz). Frequency equals Speed of Sound/Wavelength 17 18 Frequency Spectrum A standard frequency spectrum is made up of 12 octave bands, representing acoustic 19 wave length ranges, centered from 20 Hz to 3000 GHz. A sound source can have many different frequencies mixed 20 together. Each frequency stimulates a different length receptor in our ears. When only one wave length is dominant, 21 we hear a pure tone, while other sounds are made up of a combination of frequencies. When displayed in graphic 22 form, the magnitude of the sound pressure level at each frequency comprises a frequency spectrum. In some 23 instances, more detailed information is needed than what the octave band analysis gives. Narrower bands, such as 24 one-third octave bands, are selected for such analysis 25 26 **General Aviation** Aviation industry's private sector consisting of privately owned and operated aircraft not 27 for hire. Aircraft size and range vary widely from small single engine aircraft to large jet aircraft 28 29 **Grand Canyon National Park Airport** Airport located outside Grand Canyon National Park in the town of 30 Tusayan, Arizona, also referred to in this document as Grand Canyon Airport 31 32 **Grand Canyon West** Airport located on the Hualapai Reservation at Grand Canyon National Park's West End 33 34 Grand Canyon Working Group Established under authority of the *National Parks Overflights Advisory Group*, 35 and consists of representatives from NPS, FAA, air-tour operators, environmental groups, tribes, commercial and 36 general aviation, recreational interests, and other Federal agencies. The Working Group developed recommendations 37 for proposed actions to meet the statutory mandate contained in the 1987 Overflights Act. Specifically, the group's 38 purpose was to: review data and analysis, identify and review issues related to overflight noise, and consider a 39 variety of Alternatives to address issues. Information is available at 40 http://www.faa.gov/about/office org/headquarters offices/arc/programs/grand canyon overflights/documents/docu 41 ments list.cfm 42 43 **Grid Analysis** Type of aircraft noise analysis that evaluates Average Sound Level at individual points rather than 44 through generation of noise contours 45 46 Ground Effect Noise attenuation attributed to absorption or reflection of noise by human-made or natural 47 features on the ground surface 48 49 **Hearing Range (human)** An average healthy young person can hear frequencies from approximately 20 Hz to 50 20000 Hz, and sound pressure levels from 0 dB to 130 dB or more (threshold of pain) 51 52 **Hualapai Exempt Flights** Air tours conducted in support of the Hualapai Tribe are exempt from annual 53 allocations and daily caps to which other tours are subject. This exemption is the result of concerns regarding

potential impacts flight limitations would have on the Tribe's economic development (Federal Register, Vol. 65, No. 65). These flights are accounted for separately from commercial tours described. Table 3.20 presents number of

Glossary 630

Hualapai exempt flights 2000 through 2005

54

1 2 3	Human-caused Sound Any sound attributable to a human source. May be used interchangeably with non-natural, human-made, man-caused, or human-made sound
4 5	Indirect Effect An indirect effect which is caused by an action but later in time or farther away but still reasonably foreseeable as opposed to a <i>direct effect</i> which is caused by an action and occurs in the same time and place
6 7 8 9	Infrasound Frequencies below 20 Hz. Humans perceive frequencies below about 20 Hz as pressure rather than sound
10 11 12	Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) Rules governing conduct of flight using instruments and air traffic services to avoid obstacles, terrain, and other air traffic
13 14 15	Integrated Noise Model (INM) Computer model developed, updated, and maintained by FAA to predict noise exposure generated by aircraft operations
16 17 18	Integrated Noise Model Version 6.2 (INM 6.2) FAA's computer model for calculating aircraft noise. Version 6.2 of INM includes the capability to calculate aircraft audibility
19	Intensity Sound energy flow through a unit area in a unit time
20 21 22 23	kilohertz (kHz) A measure of frequency, or the number of times something occurs in a second. In terms of sound, 1 Hz (Hertz) = 1 cycle of the sound waveform per second. 1 kHz (kilohertz) = 1000 Hz
24 25	L50 L50 represents the sound pressure level, in decibels, of all sounds(natural plus non-natural) exceeded 50% of the time (the median)
26 27	Ldn See <i>Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL)</i> Ldn is used in place of DNL in mathematical equations only
28 29	LAeq ₁₂ See Equivalent Sound Level
30 31 32 33	$L_{(nat)}$ The natural ambient sound level, or sound level of all natural sounds in a given area, excluding all mechanical, electrical and other human-caused sounds. The $L_{(nat)}$ is the sound level associated with an exceedance value calculated by removing the percent time human-caused sounds are audible
34 35	Local Operation Aircraft flight that begins and ends at the same airport
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Location Point As described in Appendix D, 127 Location Points were selected by the NPS for EIS noise modeling. NPS selected 25 Location Points (GC008-GC033) corresponding to monitoring sites where acoustic data was collected by GCNP personnel. Other named points were selected as representative locations for visitor experience and/or park resources (e.g., Wilderness Character, Ethnographic Resources, wildlife). Additionally, Location Points GRID01 through GRID36 were selected based on a ten-kilometer grid to provide spatial coverage throughout the park. Map 3.2 shows all 127 Location Points referred to throughout this EIS
44 45 46	Loudness Subjective judgment of sound intensity by humans. Loudness depends on stimulus sound pressure and frequency
47 48 49	Masking Process by which the threshold of audibility for a sound is raised by presence of another (masking) sound. A masking sound is one that renders inaudible or unintelligible another sound also present
50 51 52	
52 53 54 55	Mean Sea Level (MSL) Average height of the surface of the sea for all stages of the tide; used as a reference for elevations; also called sea level datum

1 2 3	National Airspace System (NAS) Common network of U.S. airspace, air navigation facilities, equipment, services, airports, or landing areas; aeronautical charts, information, and services; rules, regulations, and procedures; technical information, staffing, and materials, all of which are used in aerial navigation
4 5 6 7	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) Legislation establishing a national policy for the environment that requires preparation of an environmental impact statement for major Federal actions significantly affecting the environment
8 9 10	National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) Legislation requiring projects on Federal lands, funded by Federal monies, or requiring a Federally-issued permit, be evaluated for impacts to historic properties
11 12 13 14 15	National Parks Overflights Advisory Group (NPOAG) Advisory group of representatives of FAA, NPS, general aviation, air-tour operators, environmental concerns, and tribes established by the Air Tour Management Act of 2000 to provide continuing advice and counsel on commercial air-tour operations over and near national parks
16 17 18	Natural Ambient Noise Existing Ambient Noise Existing Ambient Noise Existing Ambient Noise
19	Natural Quiet All natural sounds in a given area, excluding all non-natural sounds. See <i>Ambient Sound, Natural</i>
20 21 22 23 24 25	Noise Traditionally, noise has been defined as unwanted, undesired, or unpleasant sound. This makes noise a subjective term. Sounds unwanted and undesired by some may be wanted and desired by others. The appropriateness of any sound in a given area of a park will depend on a variety of factors including area management objectives
26 27	Noise Abatement Measure or action minimizing impact of noise on environs of an airport. Noise abatement measures include aircraft operating procedures and use or disuse of certain runways or flight tracks
28 29	Noise Contours Continuous lines on a map connecting all points of the same noise exposure level
30 31 32 33 34	Noise Floor Lowest amplitude measurable by sound monitoring equipment. Most commercially available sound-level meters and microphones detect sound levels to about 15 to 20 dBA; however, there are microphones capable of measuring sound levels below 0 dBA
35 36	Noise-Free Interval The length of time during which only natural sounds are audible
37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Notch, The The SFRA boundary forms a notch around Grand Canyon West Airport so that the airport area is outside the SFRA to facilitate traffic to and from the airport. The notch is entirely over Hualapai tribal lands south of the Colorado River. In Alternatives A and E, it is approximately 6 statute miles long, and 6.5 miles wide at its northeastern end narrowing to approximately 5 miles wide at its southwestern end. In Alternative F and the NPS Preferred, the notch is narrowed to approximately 5 miles wide throughout to include visitor areas at Eagle and Guano Points inside the SFRA
44 45 46 47	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) Draft of a proposed rule for public input and comment. Under the Administrative Procedures Act, in most cases, before a Federal agency may adopt a Final Rule, the agency must publish in the Federal Register a Draft rule and seek public comment. An NPRM contains a preamble that describes the rule and its purpose, commenting information and deadlines, and text of the proposed rule
48 49 50	Noticeability Noise noticed by a human on the ground who is not necessarily actively listening. The measure of whether aircraft noise is audible in developed areas of GCNP
51 52 53	Octave Band, One-Third Frequency band whose cutoff frequencies have a ratio of two to the one-third (approximately 1.26). One-third octave bands reflect reasonably the human ability to differentiate tones

Because Action Alternatives (E, F, and the NPS Preferred) propose seasonal route shifts,

Alternatives are analyzed for different Peak and Off-Peak Seasons. Off-Peak Season encompasses periods of both

Glossary 632

54 55

Off-Peak Season

high and low visitation. Peak and Off-Peak Seasons refer more to the analysis than visitation levels. Dates may correspond to avian nesting, non-motorized vs. motorized river use, and spring/fall high-demand Wilderness backpacking use to provide opportunity to experience these under quieter conditions

Alternative	Peak Season	Off-Peak Season
Е	July 1- September 15	September 16-June 30
F	February 1-November 30	December 1-January 31
NPS Preferred	May 1-October 31	November 1-April 30

Over the Edge (or Elevator Flights)A helicopter descent from Grand Canyon West Airport to Colorado River pads conducted wholly on and within the Hualapai Reservation

Peak Day

Noise analysis for this EIS is based on a 12-hour time period of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. on the Peak Day; the day with the highest total number of air-tour and air-tour-related operations. Based on a review of the best available data at the time EIS noise modeling analysis began, Peak Day occurred August 8, 2005, with a total 635 operations. This day forms the basis for Base Year analyses for the Alternatives. Data for subsequent years was checked to ensure use of 2005 Peak Day as the basis for Base Year analysis was still reasonable

Peak Season Because Action Alternatives (E, F, and the NPS Preferred) propose seasonal route shifts, Alternatives are analyzed for different Peak and Off-Peak Seasons. Peak Season generally encompasses periods of high visitation. Peak and Off-Peak Seasons refer more to the analysis than visitation levels. Dates may correspond to avian nesting, non-motorized vs. motorized river use, and spring/fall high-demand Wilderness backpacking use to provide opportunity to experience these under quieter conditions

Alternative	Peak Season	Off-Peak Season
Е	July 1- September 15	September 16-June 30
F	February 1-November 30	December 1-January 31
NPS Preferred	May 1-October 31	November 1-April 30

Percent Exceedance (Lx) These metrics are the sound levels (L), in decibels, exceeded x% of the time. The L50 value represents the sound level exceeded 50% of the measurement period. L50 is the same as the median. The L90 value represents the sound level exceeded 90% of the time during the measurement period

Percent Time Audible Time various sound sources are audible to animals, including humans, with normal hearing (hearing ability varies among animals)

Propagation Sound propagation is the spreading or radiating of sound energy from the noise source. It usually involves a reduction in sound energy with increased distance from the source. Atmospheric conditions, terrain, natural objects, and manmade objects affect sound propagation

Quiet Technology Procedures for determining the Grand Canyon National Park SFRA quiet-aircraft technology designation status for different aircraft are defined in Part 93 of chapter I of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations. Designation of Grand Canyon National Park quiet-aircraft technology is generally based on measured flyover Average Sound Level of an aircraft and seating configuration. Table 3.15 shows types of aircraft designated Grand Canyon National Park quiet-aircraft technology. Requirements and identification of aircraft that meet them are in a Final Rule published by FAA in the Federal Register on March 29, 2008, Average Sound Level for Aircraft Used for Commercial Operations in Grand Canyon Special Flight Rules Area. FAA Advisory Circulars are available at http://www.faa.gov

Record of Decision (ROD) Official notice of an agency's findings after review of a final *Environmental Impact Statement*

Scoping An early and open process for determining the scope or range of issues addressed in the *Environmental Impact Statement*, and identifying significant issues related to a proposed Federal action. Issues

important to the public and local, state, and Federal agencies are solicited through direct mailing, public notices, or meetings. Scoping is generally conducted before development of the *Environmental Impact Statement* scope of work. **SFAR 50-2** Special Federal Aviation Regulation, codified at Part 93 of the Federal Aviation Regulation that contains the Special Flight Rules for aircraft operations in the vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) Ratio between amplitude of a signal (meaningful information) and amplitude of background noise. Because many signals have a very wide dynamic range, SNRs are often expressed in terms of the logarithmic decibel scale

Single event One noise event. For many kinds of analysis, sound from single event is expressed using the *Sound Exposure Level* metric

Sound Wave motion in air, water, or other media; the rapid oscillatory compressional changes in a medium that propagate to distant points characterized by changes in density, pressure, motion, and temperature as well as other physical properties. Not all rapid changes in the medium are sound (wind distortion on a microphone diaphragm)

Soundscape Soundscape refers to the total acoustic environment associated with a given area. In a national park setting, Soundscapes can be composed primarily of natural sounds, or of both natural and non-natural sounds

Sound Exposure Level (SEL) The total sound energy of an actual sound calculated for a specific time period, usually expressed using a time period of one second. This metric is useful in comparing two sounds that differ in amplitude and duration. A very long, very low-level sound may have the same 1-second SEL as a very short, very loud sound

Sound Level Generally refers to the weighted sound pressure level obtained by frequency *weighting*, usually A-or C-weighted

Sound Pressure Fluctuations in air pressure caused by presence of sound waves. Sound pressure is the instantaneous difference between the actual pressure produced by a sound wave and the average barometric pressure at a given point in space. Sound pressure is measured in Pascals (Pa), Newtons per square meter, which is the metric equivalent of pounds per square inch

Sound Pressure Level (SPL) Logarithmic form of sound pressure; also expressed by attachment of the word decibel to the number

Sound Speed Speed of sound in air is about 344 m/sec (1,130 feet/sec or 770 mph) at 70°F at sea level. It varies substantially depending on temperature and type of medium

Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) A regulation adopted by FAA for unique and specific situations. SFARs generally have expiration dates that can be extended. **SFAR 50-2**, codified at FAR Part 93, is the rule containing regulations for the Special Flight Rules Area over Grand Canyon National Park

Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA) A portion of airspace, with both vertical and lateral dimensions, wherein special operational rules and restrictions apply. The Grand Canyon Special Flight Rules Area overlies Grand Canyon National Park and portions of surrounding lands. It extends from the surface to 17,999 feet MSL

Special Use Airspace (SUA) Airspace of defined dimensions identified by an area on the earth's surface wherein activities must be confined because of their nature, and/or wherein limitations may be imposed on aircraft operations that are not part of those activities

Spectrum (Frequency Spectrum) Amplitude of sound at various frequencies; given by a set of numbers that describe the amplitude at each frequency or band of frequencies

1 Aircraft that meet Average Sound Level prescribed by Federal Aviation Regulations Stage 2 Aircraft 2 Part 36, which are less stringent than those established for the quieter *Stage 3* designation. The Airport Noise and 3 Capacity Act required phase-out of all Stage 2 aircraft over 75,000 pounds by December 31, 1999, with potential for 4 case-by-case exceptions through 2003 5 6 Stage 3 Aircraft Aircraft that meet the most stringent Average Sound Level set in Federal Aviation 7 **Regulations** Part 36 8 9 **Substantial Restoration of Natural Quiet (SRNQ)** A legislatively mandated requirement associated with 10 recommendations by the Secretary of the Interior with respect to aircraft noise at Grand Canyon National Park. 11 Substantial Restoration of Natural Quiet has been clarified by NPS as the achievement of natural quiet (i.e., no 12 aircraft audible) in 50% or more of the park for 75-100% of any given day 13 14 **Substantive vs. Nonsubstantive Comments** The general rule under CEO regulations is that a Final EIS 15 must respond to all "substantive" comments on a Draft EIS. CEQ regulations and guidance do not define the term 16 "substantive." The National Park Service issued guidance stating that a comment is considered substantive if it 17 raises specific issues or concerns regarding the project or the study process, but not if it merely expresses support for 18 or opposition to the project or a particular Alternative. 19 National Park Service NEPA guidance states that substantive comments "(a) question, with reasonable basis, the 20 21 accuracy of information in the EIS; (b) question, with reasonable basis, the adequacy of environmental analysis; (c) 22 present reasonable Alternatives other than those presented in the EIS; [or] (d) cause changes or revisions in the 23 proposal." NPS guidance also states that "[c]omments in favor of or against the proposed action or Alternatives, or 24 comments that only agree or disagree with NPS policy, are not considered substantive." See NPS Director's Order 25 12, Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis and Decision Making, Section 4.6, Environmental 26 Impact Statements, Final EIS (Jan. 8, 2001) 27 28 **Ten-Year Forecast** For each Alternative, analysis includes assessment of impact during the Base Year and 29 Ten-Year Forecast. Ten-Year Forecast is the best estimate of what will occur ten years after implementing each 30 Alternative, starting from the Base Year scenario. For the Ten-Year Forecast, growth in aircraft operations was 31 assumed as explained in Appendix D. Also, full implementation of each Alternative's action elements is assumed to 32 be achieved in the Ten-Year Forecast (for example, full conversion to quiet-technology aircraft if that is an 33 Alternative element). 34 35 **Time above Natural Ambient** Time sound levels from non-natural sounds are greater than natural sound levels 36 37 **Transportation or Repositioning** Aggregate category of all flight operations in support of commercial air tours. 38 Transportation is typically the return leg of the Las Vegas/Tusayan (South Rim) fixed-wing commercial air tour, 39 while repositioning refers to movement of empty aircraft in support of trans-Canyon commercial air-tour operations 40 41 Ultrasound Sounds of a frequency higher than 20,000 Hz 42 43 **Visual Flight Rules (VFR)** Rules pilots may operate under in appropriate airspace when weather meets 44 certain criteria allowing ample visual ability to see and avoid other aircraft, obstacles, and terrain 45 46 U.S. Department of Transportation, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center online **Volpe Center** 47 at http://www.volpe.dot.gov. See Chapter 5 for explanation of Volpe Center's involvement in this EIS 48 49 Wavelength Distance a wave travels in the time it takes to complete one cycle. A wavelength can be 50 measured between successive peaks or between any two corresponding points on the cycle. Wavelength (feet) = 51 Speed of Sound (feet) / Frequency (Hz) 52 53 West End See Map 3.2

Adjustment of sound level data to achieve a desired measurement. A-Weighting is used to account

for changes in human-hearing sensitivity as a function of frequency. The A-weighting network de-emphasizes high

Glossary 635

54 55

56

Weighting

10

11 12

1

(6.3 kHz and above) and low (below 1 kHz) frequencies, and emphasizes frequencies between 1 kHz and 6.3 kHz in an effort to simulate the relative response of human hearing. C-Weighting is linear over the mid-frequency range from 200 Hz to 1.6 kHz, and de-emphasizes the low (below 200 Hz) and high (above 1.6 kHz) frequencies

Windscreen Porous device covering a sound-level measurement system microphone. Windscreens are designed to minimize effects of wind disturbance on sound levels being measured while minimizing attenuation (<0.5 dB) of signal. When using windscreens that attenuate sound levels >0.5 dB, amount of attenuation for each one-third octave band must be known and corrections applied

Yearly Day-Night Average Sound Level See Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL)

1	ACRONYMS	
2 3 4 5 6	AGL ANSI ARD AZGFD	Above ground level American National Standards Institute Alternative Dispute Resolution Arizona Game and Fish Department
7 8 9 10 11 12	BA BIA BLM BO	Biological Assessment Bureau of Indian Affairs Bureau of Land Management Biological Opinion
13 14 15 16	CAA CEQ CFR CRMP	Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 Council on Environmental Quality Code of Federal Regulations Colorado River Management Plan
18 19 20 21 22 23	dB dBA DEIS DNL DOT DSC	decibel A-Weighted Sound Draft Environmental Impact Statement Day-Night Average Sound Level Department of Transportation Denver Service Center (NPS)
24 25 26 27 28	EA EIS EPA	Environmental Assessment Environmental Impact Statement Environmental Protection Agency
29 30 31 32 33	FAA FAR FEIS FICAN FL180	Federal Aviation Administration Federal Aviation Regulations Final Environmental Impact Statement Federal Interagency Committee on Aviation Noise Flight Level 180
34 35 36 37 38	FONSI FPL FTE FY	Finding of No Significant Impact Federal Poverty Level Full-Time Equivalent Fiscal Year
39 40 41	GCNP GMP	Grand Canyon National Park General Management Plan
42 43 44 45	IFR INM INM 6.2	Instrument Flight Rules Integrated Noise Model Integrated Noise Model Version 6.2
46 47 48	kHz LAeq ₁₂	kiloHertz Equivalent (Average) Sound Level
49 50 51	MSL	Mean sea level
52 53 54 55	NAS NEPA NHPA NMSIM	National Airspace System National Environmental Policy Act National Historic Preservation Act Noise Map Simulation Model

Acronyms 637

1	NNL	National Natural Landmark
2	NOI	Notice of Intent
3	NPOAG	National Parks Overflights Advisory Group
4	NPRM	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
5	NPS	National Park Service
6	NRPM	Notice of Proposed Rule Making
7	NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board
8	ПТБВ	National Transportation Surety Board
9	PAC	Protected Activity Center (for Mexican spotted owl)
10	PEPC	Planning Environment and Public Comment Website (NPS)
11	LLC	riaming Environment and rabbe comment website (14.5)
12	RNA	Research Natural Area
13	ROD	Record of Decision
14		
15	SEL	Sound Exposure Level
16	SFAR	Special Federal Aviation Regulation
17	SFRA	Special Flight Rules Area
18	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office/Officer
19	SNR	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
20	SPL	Sound Pressure Level
21	SRNQ	Substantial Restoration of Natural Quiet
22	•	· ·
23	VFR	Visual Flight Rules
24	VOR	Very high frequency Omnidirectional Range
25		
26	USATA	U.S. Air Tour Association
27	UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
28	USFS	U.S. Forest Service
29	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
30		
31		
32		
-		

Acronyms 638

1	BIBLIOGRA	PHY
2		
3	Acoustical Socie	
4	2002	ANSI S12.60-2002 American National Standard Acoustical Performance Criteria, Design
5		Requirements, and Guidelines for Schools. American National Standards Institute, Inc.,
6		Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise. Melville, NY.
7	Ahlstrom, R.V.N	I., D.E. Purcell, M. Zyniecki, D.A. Gilpin, and V.L. Newton
8	1993	An Archaeological Overview of Grand Canyon National Park. SWCA Archaeological Report No.
9		93-92. Prepared for Grand Canyon National Park by SWCA, Inc., Environmental Consultants,
10		Flagstaff, AZ.
11	Ambrose, Skip	
12	2006	Sound Levels in the Primary Vegetation Types in Grand Canyon National Park, July 2005. NPS
13		Report No. GRCA-05-02. Sandhill Company: Castle Valley, UT. Prepared January 25, 2006.
14	Anderson, G.S.,	R.D. Horonjeff, C.W. Menge, and N.P. Miller
15	1993	Dose-Response Relationships Derived from Data Collected at Grand Canyon, Haleakala and
16		Hawaii Volcanoes National Parks. HMMH Report No. 290940, 14; NPOA Report No. 93-6.
17	Arizona Departn	nent of Commerce
18	2005a	Community Profile –Havasupai Indian Reservation. Available at
19		http://www.azcommerce.com/doclib/COMMUNE/havasupai.pdf
20	2005b	Community Profile – Hualapai Indian Reservation. Available at
21		http://www.azcommerce.com/doclib/commune/hualapai.pdf
22	2005c	Community Profile – Navajo Indian Reservation. Available at
23		http://www.azcommerce.com/SiteSel/Profiles/INDIAN+COMMUNITY+PROFILES.htm
24	2007a	Community Profile: Page. Available at http://www.azcommerce.com/doclib/commune/page.pdf
25	2007b	Community Profile: Williams. Available at
26		http://www.azcommerce.com/doclib/commune/williams.pdf
27	2007c	Community Profile: Fredonia. Available at
28		http://www.azcommerce.com/doclib/commune/fredonia.pdf
29	2007d	Community Profile: Coconino County. Available at
30		http://www.azcommerce.com/doclib/commune/coconino%20county.pdf
31	Arizona Departn	nent of Economic Security
32	2006	Special Unemployment Report, 2006. Available at http://www.workforce.az.gov
33		nent of Health Services
34	2006a	Havasupai Tribe Primary Care Area and Coconino County: Statistical Profile. Office of Health
35		Systems Development.
36	2006b	Hualapai Tribe Primary Care Area and Mojave County and Coconino County: Statistical Profile.
37		Office of Health Systems Development.
38	2006c	Navajo Nation Primary Care Area: Statistical Profile. Office of Health Systems Development.
39	Arizona Departn	
40	n.d.	Annual Reports. Available at http://www.azdor.gov/ReportsResearch/AnnualReports.aspx
41		nent of Transportation (ADOT)
42	2005	Grand Canyon National Park Airport Master Plan Update. FAA AIP Project No. 03-04-0019-17
43	2002	& 18. Prepared by Bucher, Willis & Ratliff Corporation and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
44		Available at http://tpd.az.gov/MPD/Airport Development/library/mp.asp?FAA=GCN
45	Arizona Office o	
46	2006	Arizona Travel Impacts, 1998-2005. April 2006. Prepared by Dean Runyan Associates. Available
47	2000	at http://www.azot.gov/documents/Travel%20Impacts%202005%20final.pdf
48	2010	Airport Passenger Volume. Available at http://www.azot.gov/research-and-statistics/monthly-and-
49	2010	quarterly/airport-passenger-visitation
50	Backlund F W	. Stewart, W., and Z. Schwartz
51	2008	Overnight Backcountry Visitors at Grand Canyon National Park, U.S. Department of Interior.
52	2000	Technical Report submitted to the National Park Service.
J2		recliment report submitted to the rational rank betwee.

1	Rarber Jesse Er	rank Turina and Kurt M. Fristrup
2	2009-20	
	2009-20	
3		Winter 2009-2010 (Vol. 26, No. 3), page 24, ISSN 0735-9462. USDI, National Park Service.
4		Available at
5		http://www.nature.nps.gov/parkscience/index.cfm?ArticleID=377&ArticleTypeID=22
6	Baumgartner, R.	M, and C. D. McDonald
7	1994	Grand Canyon Visitor Survey. HMMH Report No. 290940, 19, NPOA Report No. 93-5.
8	Berger, Elliott H	I., and Cynthia A. Kladden
9	2005	Compilation of Published and Internally Generated Data on Representative Noise Levels, E-A-R
10		88-34/HP, E-A-R/Aearo Company, E-A-RCAL Acoustical Laboratory, 7911 Zionsville Rd,
11		Indianapolis, IN 46268, Feb. 15, 2005, Version 3.0.
12	Rowden T M	Taper, and D. W. Willey
13	2008	Home Range and Habitat Ecology of Mexican Spotted Owls in Grand Canyon National Park.
	2008	
14	D D T	Final Report. Grand Canyon, AZ 42pp.
15	Brown, Bryan T.	
16	1990	Final Grand Canyon Peregrine Falcon Population Study: 1990 Monitoring Summary. Prepared
17		for Grand Canyon National Park by SWCA, Inc. Environmental Consultants, Flagstaff, AZ.
18	Brown, B. T., S.	W. Carothers, and R. R. Johnson
19	1987	Grand Canyon Birds. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
20	Brugge, David M	Л.
21	1983	Navajo Prehistory and History to 1850. In Handbook of North American Indians: Southwest.
22		Volume 10, edited by Alfonso Ortiz, pages 489-501. Washington, D.C.
23	Brumbaugh, D. S	
24	•	A Report on the Analysis of the Effect of Helicopter Vibrations on the Pt. Sublime Anasazi Site,
	n.d.	
25	D CD 1	Grand Canyon National Park. On file at Grand Canyon National Park.
26		mation, U.S. Department of the Interior
27	1995	Final Environmental Impact Statement Operation of Glen Canyon Dam, Colorado River Storage
28		Project, Arizona. March 1995. Available at
29		http://www.usbr.gov/uc/library/envdocs/eis/gc/gcdOpsFEIS.html
30	Bureau of Land	Management (BLM), U.S. Department of the Interior
31	2006	Wilderness Areas. Available at http://www.blm.gov/az/wildarea-map.htm
32	2007	Proposed Plan/FEIS for the Arizona Strip Field Office, the Vermilion Cliffs National Monument,
33		and BLM Portion of Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument, and a Proposed General
34		Management Plan/FEIS for the NPS Portion of the Grand Canyon-Parashant National
35		Monument. January 2007. Available at
36		http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental library/eis/strip FEIS 07.html
	2000-	
37	2008a	Arizona Strip Field Office Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan. Available at
38		http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental_library/arizona_resource_management/strip
39		_ROD.html
40	2008b	Vermilion Cliffs National Monument Resource Management Plan Record of Decision and
41		Approved Resource Management Plan. Available at
42		http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental_library/arizona_resource_management/ver
43		m ROD.html
44	2008c	Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument Resource Management Plan Record of Decision
45		and Approved Resource Management Plan. Available at
46		http://www.blm.gov/az/st/en/info/nepa/environmental_library/arizona_resource_management/gcp
47		ROD.html
48	Camaran Chanta	-
	Cameron Chapte	
49	2007	Cameron Chapter Profile. Available at http://cameron.nndes.org/
50	Carpenter, G.C.	TI
51	2003	Herpetofaunal Surveys 2003 in Kearsley, M.J.C. [et al] <i>Inventory and Monitoring of Terrestrial</i>
52		Riparian Resources in the Colorado River Corridor of Grand Canyon: an Integrative Approach
53		2003 Annual Report to Grand Canyon Research and Monitoring Center [unpublished]. [Flagstaff,
54		Ariz.] p. 51-60.
55		

1	City of Las Vega	
2	2006	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006. Prepared by
3		the Department of Finance and Business Services. Available at
4		http://www.lasvegasnevada.gov/TextOnly/Publications/5735.htm
5	City of Phoenix	
6	2010	Deer Valley Airport. Available at http://phoenix.gov/deervalleyairport/about/index.html
7	Coder, C.M.	
8	2000	An Introduction to Grand Canyon Prehistory. Grand Canyon National Park, AZ: Grand Canyon
9		Association.
10	Council on Envir	ronmental Quality
11	n.d.	Part 1502 - Environmental Impact Statement. Regulations for Implementing NEPA. 40 CFR Parts
12		1500-1508. Available at http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/ceq_regulations/regulations.html
13	1997	Environmental Justice, Guidance under the National Environmental Policy Act.
14	Crocker, M. ed.	
15	1997	The Encyclopedia of Acoustics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, NY. (specific reference is
16		p. 1162, Tocci, G.C Ratings and Descriptors for the Building Acoustical Environment
17		K., Terryl G. Grubb, Paul Beier, Larry L. Pater, and M. Hildegard Reiser.
18	1999	Effects of Helicopter Noise on MSOs. Journal of Wildlife Management 63: 60-76.
19		nsley, and M. Manfedo
20	1991	Results from Two Inventories Designed to Assess the Breadth of the Perceived Psychological
21 22		Benefits of Leisure. In B. Driver, P. Brown, and G. Peterson, editors, <i>Benefits of Leisure</i> (pp. 263-286). State College, PA: Venture Publishing.
23	Dumond, Lionel	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23 24	2000	All About Decibels Part I: What's Your dB IQ? Available at
25	2000	http://www.prorec.com/prorec/articles.nsf/articles/EA68A9018C905AFB8625675400514576
26	Euler, R.C.	http://www.protec.com/protec/articles.hsi/articles/L/Noo/A/016C/03/Ar D60230/34003143/0
27	1979	The Canyon Dwellers: 4000 Years of Human History in the Grand Canyon. In Grand Canyon: An
28	1717	Anthology, compiled by B. Babbitt, 175-84. Flagstaff, AZ: Northland Press.
29	Fairley, H.C., P.	W. Bungart, C.M. Coder, J. Huffman, T.L. Samples, and J.R. Balsom
30	1994	The Grand Canyon River Corridor Survey Project: Archaeological Survey along the Colorado
31		River between Glen Canyon Dam and Separation Canyon. River Corridor Monitoring Program
32		No. 1. Glen Canyon Environmental Studies, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Flagstaff, AZ.
33	Falzarano, Sarah	
34	2006	Characterizing Grand Canyon Sounds. Geospatial Solutions 16(1): 46.
35		Administration (FAA), U.S. Department of Transportation
36	1985	Airport Noise Compatibility Planning. Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150. January
37		1985.
38	1996	Special Flight Rules in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park Environmental Assessment
39	1000	and Finding of No Significant Impact. Washington, D.C.
40	1999	Supplemental Environmental Assessment Special Flight Rules in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon
41	2000-	National Park. June.
42 43	2000a	Commercial Air Tour Limitation in the Grand Canyon National Park Special Flight Rules Area; Final Rule. April 2000, <i>Federal Register</i> , Vol. 65, No. 65. Washington, D.C.
43 44	2000b	Final Supplemental Environmental Assessment: Special Flight Rules in the Vicinity of Grand
45	20000	Canyon National Park. February. Washington, D.C.
46	2000c	Regulatory Evaluation, Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, International Trade Impact Assessment,
47	20000	and Unfunded Mandates Assessment; Final Rule; Commercial Air Tour Limitation in the Grand
48		Canyon National Park Special Flight Rules Area. Office of Aviation Policy and Plans. January
49		2000. FAA Docket No. FAA-1999-5927-280.
50	2001	Grand Canyon VFR Aeronautical Chart, 3rd Edition, April 19, 2001. National Aeronautical
51		Charting Office.
52	2006	Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures. FAA Order 1050.1E CHG 1. March 20, 2006.
53		Available at http://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/order/energy_orders/1050-1E.pdf
54	2007	Personal communication between Norman Elrod, Federal Aviation Administration, and Harvey
55		Economics. January.
56	2008	FAA Advisory Circular 93-2, June 2006, Appendixes 1 and 2, updated December 22, 2008.

1	2009	Glossary, Air Traffic Activity System. Available at
2		http://aspm.faa.gov/opsnet/sys/Main.asp?force=atads
3	2010	Personal communication between Norman Elrod, Federal Aviation Administration, and Susan
4		Walker, Harvey Economics. June 29.
5	Ferguson, T.J.	
6	1998	Ongtupka niqw Pisisvayu (Salt Canyon and the Colorado River): The Hopi People and the Grand
7		Canyon. Final Ethnohistoric Report for the Hopi Glen Canyon Environmental Studies Project.
8	D 1 17	Public version. Seattle: Institute of the North American West.
9		ncy Committee on Aviation Noise (FICAN)
10	2005	FICAN Findings and Recommendations on Tools for Modeling Aircraft Noise in National Parks.
11		Washington, DC: Federal Interagency Committee on Aviation Noise, February 2005. Available at
12 13		https://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/arc/programs/grand_canyon_overflights/documentation/FICANRecommendationParksModelingFinal.pdf
14	Elemina Grega	G., Plotkin, Kenneth J., Roof, Christopher J., Ikelheimer, Bruce J., Senzig, David A.
15	2005	FICAN Assessment of Tools for Modeling Aircraft Noise in the National Parks. John A. Volpe
16	2003	National Transportation Systems Center and Wyle Laboratories. March 18, 2005. Available at
17		http://www.fican.org/pdf/Assessment ToolsModeling NPS.pdf
18	Galen Carol Aud	
19	n.d.	Decibel (Loudness) Comparison Chart. Available at
20		http://www.gcaudio.com/resources/howtos/loudness.html
21	Ganey, J. L.	
22	1988	Distribution and Habitat Ecology of Mexican Spotted Owls in Arizona. MS Thesis. Northern
23		Arizona University, Flagstaff. On file at Grand Canyon National Park.
24	Gill, Sam D.	
25	1983	Navajo Views of Their Origin. In: <i>Handbook of North American Indians: Southwest</i> . Volume 10,
26		edited by Alfonso Ortiz, pages 502-505. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution.
27	Gilpin, D., and I	
28	1998	The Prehistoric to Historic Transition Period in Arizona, circa A.D. 1519 to 1692. SWCA Report
29		No. 97-4. Prepared for the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office, Phoenix, AZ by SWCA,
30 31	Glassco, G.	Inc., Environmental Consultants, Flagstaff, AZ.
32	2003a	2002 Hualapai Indian Tribe Department of Cultural Resources River Trip: Summary Table of
33	2003a	TCP Evaluations and Recommendations. On file at Hualapai Indian Tribe Office of Cultural
34		Resources, Peach Springs, AZ.
35	2003b	Addition of Hualapai Indian Tribe's Traditional Cultural Properties to Grand Canyon National
36		Park Archaeological Site Data Table. Compiled by J. Grace Ellis. August 19. On file at Grand
37		Canyon National Park, Archaeology Program, Flagstaff, AZ.
38	Grand Canyon R	Resort Corporation
39	2007	Personal communication between Steve Beattie, Chief Financial Officer, Grand Canyon Resort
40		Corporation, and Ed Harvey, Harvey Economics. April.
41		ller and Hansen, Inc. (HMMH)
42	1993	Acoustical Data Collected at Grand Canyon, Haleakala and Hawaii National Parks. Report No.
43	TT - D' 1 1D	290940.18. Prepared for the National Park Service. August.
44	Hart, Richard E.	
45 46	1995	Zuni and the Grand Canyon: A Glen Canyon Environmental Studies Report. Zuni GCES
46 47	Harvey Econom	Ethnohistorical Report. Seattle: Institute of the North American West.
48	2006	Personal Communication between Heidi Williams, Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association, and
49	2000	Harvey Economics, March 2006.
50	2007a	Personal Communications between Grand Canyon air-tour operators and Harvey Economics,
51	200,4	April 2007.
52	2007b	Personal Communication between Steve Beattie, Grand Canyon Resort Corporation, and Harvey
53		Economics, April 2007.
54	2008a	Estimated Changes in Basline Flight Operations Under GRCA Overflight EIS Alternatives, May
55		19, 2008.

1 2	2008b	Memorandum: Projections of 2015 Flight Operations by Route and Aircraft Type for GRCA Overflight EIS Alternatives. May 19, 2008.
3 4	2008c 2008d	data obtained October/November 2008 from http://www.planequest.com/operationcosts/ Memorandum: Estimated Alternative G Baseline Flight Operations and 2010 and 2020
5		Projections. November 20, 2008.
6 7	2010	Spreadsheets and data calculations developed for this DEIS. On file at Harvey Economics, 600 S. Cherry St., Suite 220, Denver, CO 80246.
8	Havasupai Tribe	
9	2007	Official Website. Available at http://www.havasupai-nsn.gov/
10	Henderson, Tom	
11	n.d.	The Physics Classroom. Sound Waves and Music - Lesson 2: Sound Properties and Their
12		Perception, Intensity and the Decibel Scale. Available at
13		http://www.physicsclassroom.com/Class/sound/u1112b.cfm
14	Hualapai Tribal	Police Department
15	2006	Official Website. Available at http://www.hualapai-nsn.gov/
16	Inter Tribal Cou	ncil of Arizona, Inc. (ITCA)
17	2007	Havasupai Tribe: Introductory Information. Available at
18		http://www.itcaonline.com/tribes havasupai.html
19	Jackson, Loretta	
20	1997	Hualapai Indian Tribe's Cultural Inventory of the Grand Canyon, Colorado River Corridor from
21		Separation Canyon (RM 239.7) to Pearce Ferry (RM 276), Mohave County. Hualapai Indian Tribo
22		Department of Cultural Resources, Peach Springs, AZ.
23	Jackson, L., D.J.	Kennedy, and A.M. Phillips, III
24	2001	Evaluating Hualapai Cultural Resources along the Colorado River, 2000. Department of Cultural
25		Resources, Hualapai Indian Tribe, Peach Springs, AZ.
26	2002	Evaluating Hualapai Cultural Resources along the Colorado River, 2001. Department of Cultural
27		Resources, Hualapai Indian Tribe, Peach Springs, AZ.
28	Khera, Sigrid an	d Patricia S. Mariella
29	1983	Yavapai. In Handbook of North American Indians: Southwest. Volume 10, edited by Alfonso
30		Ortiz, pages 38-54. Washington, D.C.
31	King, K.	
32	1996	Investigation of Helicopter Induced Vibrations at Balcony House, Mesa Verde. Report to Grand
33		Canyon National Park, AZ. On file at Grand Canyon National Park.
34	Las Vegas Conv	ention and Visitors Authority
35	2005	Las Vegas Visitor Profile: Annual Report of the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority
36		Available at http://www.lvcva.com/press/statistics-facts/index.jsp
37	Loomis, J., Doug	glas, A., Harpman,D.
38	2005	"Recreation Use Values and Nonuse Values of Glen and Grand Canyons." In The State of the
39		Colorado River Ecosystem in Grand Canyon, edited by S.P. Gloss, J.E. Lovich, and T.S. Melis,
40		Chapter 9. Reston, Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey.
41	McGuire, Thoma	as R.
42	1983	Walapai. In Handbook of North American Indians: Southwest. Volume 10. Edited by Alfonso
43		Ortiz, pages 25-37. Washington, D.C.
44	Mestre Greve As	ssociates
45	2005	City of Glendale Noise Element of the General Plan Technical Appendix. Available at
46		http://www.ci.glendale.ca.us/ planning/pdf_files/NoiseElement/Tech_Appendix
47		June_06_draft.pdf
48	Minnesota Pollu	tion Control Agency
49	1999	A Guide to Noise Control in Minnesota. Acoustical Properties, Measurement, Analysis,
50		Regulation. Saint Paul, MN. Available at http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/air/air-
51		monitoring-and-reporting/noise-program.html?menuid=&missing=0&redirect=1
52	Musani, Amin	
53	n.d.	Sound Advice. Available at http://keepandbeararms.com/information/XcIBViewItem.asp?ID=2052
54		

1		ervice (NPS), U.S. Department of the Interior
2	1993	Wilderness Recommendation, Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona. Revised. Grand Canyon
3		National Park.
4	1994	Report to Congress: Report on Effects of Aircraft Overflights on the National Park System.
5		Prepared Pursuant to Public Law 100-91, the National Parks Overflights Act of 1987. Washington,
6		D.C. September 12, 1994.
7	1995	General Management Plan: Grand Canyon National Park. Denver Service Center. Available at
8		http://www.nps.gov/grca/parkmgmt/gmp.htm
9	1998	Director's Order 28: Cultural Resource Management. Washington, D.C.: NPS Office of Policy.
10	4000	Available at http://www.nps.gov/applications/npspolicy/DOrders.cfm
11	1999	Section 7: Biological Assessment. In Proposed Revisions to Flight Rules in the Vicinity of Grand
12	• • • • •	Canyon National Park. November 4.
13	2000	Director's Order 47: Soundscape Preservation and Noise Management. Washington, D.C.: NPS
14	2001	Office of Policy. Available at http://www.nps.gov/applications/npspolicy/DOrders.cfm
15	2001	Director's Order 12: Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis, and Decision-
16		making. Washington, D.C.: NPS Office of Policy. Available at
17	2002	http://www.nps.gov/applications/npspolicy/DOrders.cfm
18	2002	2000 Air Emissions Inventory, Grand Canyon National Park, by EA Engineering, Science, and
19		Technology, Inc. Available at
20	2002	http://www.nature.nps.gov/air/AQBasics/ParkEIFiles/grcanp_AR.pdf
21	2003	Explore Natural Sounds: Effects of Noise. Available at http://www.nature.nps.gov/naturalsounds/impacts/
22 23	20050	Final Environmental Impact Statement Colorado River Management Plan. Grand Canyon
23 24	2005a	National Park. November. Available at http://www.nps.gov/grca/parkmgmt/crmp.htm
25	2005b	Statistics: Calendar Year 2005. Backcountry Information Center, Grand Canyon National Park.
26	2006a	Backcountry Day Hikers at Grand Canyon National Park. Prepared by Erik Blacklund, William
27	2000a	Stewart, Zvi Schwartz, and Cary McDonald. Park Planning and Policy Lab, University of Illinois
28		at Urbana-Champaign. October.
29	2006b	Management Policies. Washington, D.C.: National Park Service. Available at
30	20000	http://www.nps.gov/policy/mp/Index2006.htm
31	2006c	NPS Statistics. Available at http://www2.nature.nps.gov/stats/
32	2007a	Grand Canyon: Animals. Available at http://www.nps.gov/grca/naturescience/animals.htm
33	2007b	Grand Canyon National Park: California Condors. Available at
34	20070	http://www.nps.gov/grca/naturescience/california-condors.htm
35	2007c	Winter ambient sound levels in Grand Canyon National Park. NPS Report No. GRCA-07-02.
36		Prepared March 7, 2007. Available at
37		http://www.nps.gov/grca/naturescience/airoverflights documents.htm
38	2007d	Summer replicate ambient sound levels in Grand Canyon National Park. NPS Report No. GRCA-
39		07-06. Prepared October 29, 2007. Available at
40		http://www.nps.gov/grca/naturescience/airoverflights documents.htm
41	2008a	Sound levels and audibility of common sounds in frontcountry and transitional areas in Grand
42		Canyon National Park, 2007-2008. NPS Report No. GRCA-08-04. Prepared November 12, 2008.
43		Available at http://www.nps.gov/grca/naturescience/airoverflights_documents.htm
44	2008b	Memorandum: Estimated Changes in Baseline Flight Operations Under GCNP Overflight EIS
45		Alternatives. May 18, 2008.
46	2008c	Memorandum: Projections of 2015 Flight Operations by Route and Aircraft Type for GCNP
47		Overflight EIS Alternatives. May 18, 2008.
48	2008d	Attachment 1. Reevaluation of the November 4, 1999 Biological Assessment for Threatened and
49		Endangered Species for the Grand Canyon National Park Special Flight Rules Area, Coconino and
50		Mohave Counties, Arizona.
51	2008e	South Rim Visitor Transportation Plan Environmental Assessment. Grand Canyon National Park.
52		Available at http://www.nps.gov/grca/parkmgmt/trans.htm
53	2008f	Grand Canyon Railroad Depot Historic Structure Report. November 15, 2008. Available at
54		http://www.nps.gov/history/online_books/grca/rr_depot_hsr.pdf
55	2009	Understand Sound: Defining Key Terms. Available at
56		http://www.nature.nps.gov/naturalsounds/understanding/

1	2010	Grand Canyon National Park Foundation Statement. Grand Canyon National Park. April.
2	2010a	Grand Canyon: Birds. Available at http://www.nps.gov/grca/naturescience/birds.htm
3	2010b	Final Environmental Impact Statement/Assessment of Effect Fire Management Plan. Grand
4		Canyon National Park. January. Available at
5		http://parkplanning.nps.gov/parkHome.cfm?parkId=65
6	2010c	Personal communication between Grand Canyon National Park Staff and Parsons. DEIS Internal
7		Review Comments July 15, 2010.
8	National Park Se	ervice and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior
9	2003	Handbook on Departmental Review of Section 4(f) Evaluations. Office of Environmental Policy
10	-005	and Compliance. Washington, D.C. February 2002 (revised October 2003).
11	Navajo Nation	
12	2007	Navajo Nation Profile. Available at http://www.navajonationcouncil.org/NNprofile.htm
13	Neal, Lynn A. an	
14	2000	Cultural Resources Data Synthesis within the Colorado River Corridor, Grand Canyon National
15	_000	Park and Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, Arizona. SWCA Cultural Resources Report No.
16		98-85. Prepared for Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center by SWCA, Inc.,
17		Environmental Consultants, Flagstaff, AZ.
18	Nevada Departm	
19	2006	Combined Sales and Use Statistical Report. Available at http://www.tax.state.nv.us/pubs.htm
20	Northern Arizona	
21	2005	Grand Canyon National Park Northern Arizona Tourism Study. Prepared by: Arizona Hospitality
22	2003	Research and Resource Center School of Hotel & Restaurant Management, Northern Arizona
23		University. Flagstaff, AZ. Available at http://home.nau.edu/ahrrc/library.asp
24	2007a	Center for American Indian Economic Development: Tribes – The Havasupai. Available at
25	2007a	http://www.cba.nau.edu/caied/TribePages/Havasupai.asp
26	2007b	Center for American Indian Economic Development: Tribes – The Hualapai. Available at
27	20070	http://www.cba.nau.edu/caied/TribePages/Hualapai.asp
28	Palmer A G D	L. Nordmeyer, and D. D. Roby
29	2003	Effects of Jet Aircraft Overflights on Parental Care of Peregrine Falcons. Wildlife Society
30	2003	Bulletin, 31(2): 499-509.
31	Phillips, A. M. II	
32	1997	Monitoring Hualapai Ethnobotanical Resources Along the Colorado River, 1997. Final Report.
33	1991	Hualapai Indian Tribe Cultural Resources Division, Peach Springs, AZ.
34	Roberts Alexa I	Richard M. Begay and Klara B. Kelley
35	1995	Bitsiis Nineezi (The River of Neverending Life): Navajo History and Cultural Resources of the
36	1775	Grand Canyon and the Colorado River. Window Rock, AZ: Navajo Historic Preservation
37		Department.
38	Stevens, Robert 1	
39	1996	Hualapai Indian Tribe's Traditional Cultural Properties on and along the Colorado River
40	1990	through the Grand Canyon: A Hualapai Indian Tribe Research Report to the United States
41		Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, for Glen Canyon Environmental Studies and Glen
42		Canyon Dam Environmental Impact Statement. Cultural Resources Division, Natural Resources
43		Department, Hualapai Indian Tribe, Peach Springs, AZ.
44	Stockwell C A	, and G.C. Bateman
45	1987	The Effect of Helicopter Overflights on the Foraging Behavior of Desert Bighorn Sheep (Ovis
46	1967	Canadensis nelson) at Grand Canyon National Park. A Final Report for the Grand Canyon
47		Natural History Association. 27pp.
48	Stockwell C A	G. C. Bateman, and J. Berger
49	1991	Conflicts in National Parks: A Case Study of Helicopters and Bighorn Sheep Time Budgets at the
50	1991	Grand Canyon. <i>Biological Conservation</i> 56: 317-328.
51	Stoffle D W D	D. B. Halmo, M. J. Evans, and E. E. Austin
52	1994	Piapaxa "uipi" (Big River Canyon): Southern Paiute Ethnographic Resource Inventory and
53	1 <i>774</i>	Assessment for Colorado River Corridor, Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, Utah and
54		Arizona, and Grand Canyon National Park, Arizona. Public version. Tucson, AZ: Bureau of
55 55		Applied Research in Anthropology, University of Arizona.
56		Applied Research in Anthropology, University of Arizona.
50		

1	Stoffle, Richard and Kathleen Van Vlack		
2	2006	Puaxant Tuvip: Puha Paths: Southern Paiute Pilgrimages on the Arizona Strip. Papers of the Great	
3		Basin Anthropologocal Conference 2006. Accessed May 20, 2010, at	
4		http://bara.arizona.edu/research/stoffle/volcano/presentations/Alaska3-PuhaPaths-	
5		SouthernPaiutePilgrimagesonAZSt.pdf	
6	Stynes, Daniel and Ya-Yen Sun		
7	2005	Economic Impacts of Grand Canyon National Park Visitor Spending on the Local Economy, 2003.	
8		East Lansing MI: Michigan State University. Prepared as part of the National Park Service Social	
9		Science Program.	
10	Tiller, Veronica	E. Verlarde, Editor and Compiler	
11	2005	Tiller's Guide to Indian Country: Economic Profiles of American Indian Reservations.	
12	2005	Albuquerque, NM: BowArrow Publishing Company. Second Edition.	
13	U.S. Census Bur		
14	2000a	Census 2000 Summary File 3. Available at http://www.census.gov/census2000/sumfile3.html	
15	2000b	Census 2000. Available at http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html	
16	2002	2002 Economic Census. Available at http://www.census.gov/econ/census02/guide/geosumm.htm	
17	2002	and (Zip Code Business Patterns) http://censtats.census.gov/cbpnaic/cbpnaic.shtml.	
18	2005	American Community Survey. Available on the Internet at http://www.census.gov/acs/www/	
19	2007	2007 Economic Census. Available at http://www.census.gov/econ/census07/	
20		t of the Interior (DOI)	
21	1995	Part 512: American Indian and Alaska Native Programs, Chapter 2: Departmental	
22	1993	Responsibilities for Indian Trust Resources. Departmental Manual, Effective date: 12/01/95.	
23	2003		
24	2003	Handbook on Departmental Review of Section 4(f) Evaluations. February 2002 (revised October 2002). Office of Environmental Religious of Compliance, Available at	
25		2003). Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance. Available at	
	IIC Danartmant	http://www.doi.gov/oepc/Revised4%28f%29Handbook.pdf	
26	•	t of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics	
27	2007	Local Area Unemployment Statistics. Available on the Internet at www.bls.gov.	
28		ntal Protection Agency (EPA)	
29	1974	Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare	
30		with an Adequate Margin of Safety. March. Available at	
31	HC Ell and W	http://www.nonoise.org/library/levels74/levels74.htm	
32		ildlife Service (USFWS)	
33	1984	American Peregrine Falcon Recovery Plan (Rocky Mountain/Southwest Population). Prepared in	
34		cooperation with the American Peregrine Falcon Recovery Team. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,	
35	1005	Denver, CO.	
36	1995	Recovery Plan for the Mexican Spotted Owl. Albuquerque, NM. December. Available at	
37	1007	http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/Documents/R2ES/MSO_Recovery_Plan.pdf	
38	1996	Recovery Plan for the California Condor. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Region. April.	
39	2000	Available at http://ecos.fws.gov/docs/recovery_plans/1996/960425.pdf	
40	2000	Section 7 Consultation for New Flight Rules in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park.	
41		(Biological opinion in response to the November 8, 1999 NPS Biological Assessment)	
42		Unpublished report. Arizona Ecological Services Field Office, Phoenix, AZ.	
43		ice (USFS), U.S. Department of Agriculture	
44	2007a	Appendix K: Additional Air Quality and Noise Information. From White Pass Ski Area Expansion	
45		Master Development Plan and EIS. Available at	
46		http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/wenatchee/projects/white-pass/	
47	2007b	Wilderness: Kaibab National Forest. Available at	
48		http://www.fs.fed.us/r3/kai/recreation/Wilderness	
49	2008	Kaibab National Forest Land Management Plan, as amended. 2008. Available at	
50		http://fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fsm91_050003.pdf	
51	2010	North Kaibab Ranger District Travel Analysis Process Report, 2010.	
52	University of Arizona		
53	2007	Native American Tribes. Economic Development Research Department. January 2007. Available	
54		at http://edrp.arid.arizona.edu/tribes.html	
55			

1	University of Idaho		
2	2003	Grand Canyon National Park South Rim Visitor Study, Report 144. Social Science Program,	
3		Visitor Services Project.	
4	University of Nevada Las Vegas		
5	2010	Metropolitan Las Vegas Tourism Statistics. Center for Business and Economic Research.	
6		Available at http://cber.unlv.edu/tour.html	
7	Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, U.S. Department of Transportation,		
8	2005	Study of Visitor Response to Air Tour and Other Aircraft Noise in National Parks, by Amanda S.	
9		Rapoza, Gregg G Fleming, Cynthia S.Y. Lee, Christopher J. Roof. U.S. Department of	
10		Transportation, Research and Special Programs, Administration, John A. Volpe National	
11		Transportation Systems Center, Environmental Measurement and Modeling Division, DTS-34,	
12		Acoustics Facility, Kendall Square, Cambridge, MA. January.	
13	2006	Draft Interagency Alternatives: Modeling Assumptions. December 15, 2006.	
14	Ward, R.V.		
15 16	2000	Abundance and Distribution of Peregrine Falcons in Grand Canyon National Park. Prepared for Grand Canyon Science Center, Grand Canyon National Park, AZ. On file at Grand Canyon	
17		National Park.	
18 19	2009	Internal review comments on internal review Special Flight Rules in the Vicinity of Grand Canyon National Park Draft EIS. March 24, 2009.	
20	Welsh M P R	C. Bishop, M.L. Phillips, and R.M.Baumgartner	
21	1995	Glen Canyon Dam, Colorado River Storage Project, Arizona: Non-Use Value Study Final Report.	
22		Hagler Bailly Consulting, Inc. September 8, 1995. Springfield, Va.: National Technical	
23		Information Service, NTIS no. PB98-105406.	
24	White, C.M., N.J. Clum, T.J. Cade, and W.G. Hunt		
25	2002	Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus). The Birds of North America Online, edited by A. Poole.	
26		Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology. Available at	
27		http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/660/articles/introduction	
28			
29			

National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior



Grand Canyon National Park P.O. Box 129 Grand Canyon, Arizona 86023