Environmental Impact Statement

Appalachian National Scenic Trail Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area Middle Delaware National Scenic and Recreational River

National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior





Development of Alternatives

Issues and Impacts Involved in the Alternative Evalution Process



Socio-economic / Community Impacts Scenic Resources and Viewsheds Paleontological and Geological Resources Health and Safety of Visitors and Staff Natural Resources Cultural Resources Visitor Experience Park Operations

Criteria for Alternatives Evaluation Process

Technical feasibility Environmental impacts Cost

Process for Evaluating Alternative Routes

- 1. Presentation of technical aspects of the alternative by David Evans & Associates, Inc. (DEA), with a description of why the alternative was recommended for evaluation and potential benefits and drawbacks of the alternative.
- 2. Question and answer session amongst interdisciplinary team.
- 3. Discussion of potential environmental concerns, especially potentially major impacts.



Alternatives Considered But Dismissed

Require New Tower Structures

ACCC® conductor (aluminum conductor composite core) Refurbish the existing 230 kV line to meet energy needs

High Cost

Underground 500kV transmission line Superconductor lines (direct current)

Do Not Meet Technical Reliability Requirements Smart grid Distributed energy generation sites

Transmission pole near the AT

- 4. Evaluation of suitability of the alternative based on criteria with recommendation to evaluate or dismiss.
- 5. After review of all potential alternative routes by the interdisciplinary team, a review was conducted to assess if refinement was needed.

Localized renewable energy sources

Unacceptable Adverse Impacts Alternative 8 Alternative 9 Alternative 10

Redundant Alternatives Alternative 11 Alternative 12

