


MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. NATIONAL MEMORIAL Washington, DC

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Responsible Agency:
National Park Service
in association with:
**Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr.
National Memorial Project Foundation, Inc.**

July 2005



MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. NATIONAL MEMORIAL Washington, D.C.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Responsible Agency:

National Park Service

in association with:

**Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr.
National Memorial Project Foundation, Inc.**

Abstract:

The National Park Service has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) to address the proposed establishment and operation of a memorial to commemorate Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. in Washington DC. This EA identifies the potential impacts associated with the Proposed Action and a No Action Alternative and recommends mitigation measures to offset identified impacts. The information contained in this EA has been prepared in accordance with National Environmental Policy Act and National Historic Preservation Act requirements.

Direct Comments on the Environmental Assessment by fax or email to:

John Parsons

National Park Service – National Capital Region

Fax: (202) 619-7420

Email: John_Parsons@nps.gov

Table of Contents

1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action	1-3
1.3	Project Setting.....	1-5
	1.3.1 Study Area	1-5
	1.3.2 History of the National Mall	1-6
1.4	Significance of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.	1-7
1.5	Design Process.....	1-11
	1.5.1 Design Competition.....	1-11
	1.5.2 Ongoing Design Review.....	1-12
1.6	Cumulative Relationship to Other Projects.....	1-15
1.7	Issues and Impact Topics	1-15

2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVE

2.1	Consideration of Alternative Sites	2-1
2.2	Proposed Memorial	2-6
2.3	No Action Alternative	2-6

3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1	Socio-Economic Environment	3-1
	3.1.1 Land Use	3-1
	3.1.2 Planning Controls and Policies	3-3
	3.1.3 Demographic and Economic Resources	3-5
	3.1.4 Visitor Use and Experience.....	3-6
3.2	Cultural Resources.....	3-7
	3.2.1 Archaeological Resources.....	3-7
	3.2.2 Historic and Cultural Resources	3-7
	3.2.3 Visual Resources	3-15

3.3	Natural Resources	3-16
	3.3.1 Geophysical Resources	3-16
	3.3.2 Water Resources	3-16
	3.3.3 Vegetation	3-18
	3.3.4 Wildlife and Aquatic Life	3-19
	3.3.5 Hazardous Materials	3-19
	3.3.6 Air Quality	3-19
	3.3.7 Noise Levels	3-20
3.4	Transportation and Urban Systems	3-21
	3.4.1 Roadway Traffic	3-21
	3.4.2 Parking	3-23
	3.4.3 Public Transportation	3-23
	3.4.4 Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation	3-23
	3.4.5 Stormwater Management	3-23
	3.4.6 Site Utilities.....	3-24
	3.4.7 Community Facilities	3-24

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1	Socio-Economic Environment	4-1
	4.1.1 Land Use	4-1
	4.1.2 Planning Controls and Policies	4-2
	4.1.3 Demographic and Economic Resources.....	4-3
	4.1.4 Visitor Use and Experience	4-4
4.2	Cultural Resources.....	4-6
	4.2.1 Archaeological Resources.....	4-6
	4.2.2 Historic and Cultural Resources	4-6
	4.2.3 Visual Resources	4-8

4.3	Natural Resources	4-11
4.3.1	<i>Geophysical Resources</i>	4-11
4.3.2	<i>Water Resources</i>	4-12
4.3.3	<i>Vegetation</i>	4-14
4.3.4	<i>Wildlife and Aquatic Life</i>	4-14
4.3.5	<i>Hazardous Materials</i>	4-15
4.3.6	<i>Air Quality</i>	4-16
4.3.7	<i>Noise Levels</i>	4-17
4.4	Transportation and Urban Systems.....	4-18
4.4.1	<i>Roadway Traffic</i>	4-18
4.4.2	<i>Parking</i>	4-19
4.4.3	<i>Public Transportation</i>	4-20
4.4.4	<i>Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation</i>	4-20
4.4.5	<i>Stormwater Management</i>	4-21
4.4.6	<i>Site Utilities</i>	4-21
4.4.7	<i>Community Facilities</i>	4-21
4.5	Summary of Cumulative Impacts	4-21
5.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	
5.1	Institutional Framework.....	5-1
5.2	Scoping Process	5-1
5.3	EA Comments	5-1
6.0	APPENDICES	
6.1	Design Description.....	6-1
6.2	Acronyms Used in the EA.....	6-3
6.3	Authorizing Legislation	6-3
6.4	List of Preparers.....	6-4
6.5	References.....	6-5