

Chapter 7

Bibliography

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

- Abelson, P. 1990. Medicine from plants. *Science* 247:513.
- Adair, J. 1997. The bioprospecting question: should the United States charge biotechnology companies for the commercial use of public wild genetic resources? *Ecology Law Quarterly* 24:131.
- Akerele, H. 1991. *The conservation of medicinal plants*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Albers-Schonberg, G. 1995. The pharmaceutical discovery process. In *Intellectual property rights and biodiversity conservation*, ed. T. Swanson. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Anderson, E. 1992. *INBio/Merck Agreement: pioneers in sustainable development*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard Business School.
- Artuso, A. 1997. *Drugs of natural origin: economic and policy aspects of discovery, development, and marketing*. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Press.
- Artuso, A. 1996. Economic analysis of biodiversity as a source of pharmaceuticals. In *Biodiversity, biotechnology, and sustainable development in health and agriculture: emerging connections*. Scientific publication No. 560. Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization.
- Asebey, E., et al. 1995. Biodiversity prospecting: fulfilling the mandate of the biodiversity convention. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* 28:703.
- Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 2007. *AUTM U.S. licensing activity survey, FY2006: a survey of technology licensing (and related) activity for U.S. academic and nonprofit institutions and technology investment firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.net/about/dsp.Detail.cfm?pid=215>>. Last accessed September 18, 2008.
- Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 2004. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 2003: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and technology investment firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.net/about/dsp.pubDetail2.cfm?pid=16>>. Last accessed September 18, 2008.
- Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 2003. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 2002: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and patent management firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.org/surveys/dsp.surveyDetail.cfm?pid=16>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.
- Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 2002. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 2001: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and patent management firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.org/surveys/dsp.surveyDetail.cfm?pid=17>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.
- Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 2001. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 2000: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and patent management firms*. Available

online at <<http://www.autm.org/surveys/dsp.surveyDetail.cfm?pid=18>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 2000. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 1999: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and patent management firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.org/surveys/dsp.surveyDetail.cfm?pid=19>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 1999. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 1998: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and patent management firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.org/surveys/dsp.surveyDetail.cfm?pid=20>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

Association of University Technology Managers, Inc. 1998. *AUTM licensing survey, FY 1997: a survey summary of technology licensing (and related) performance for U.S. and Canadian academic and nonprofit institutions, and patent management firms*. Available online at <<http://www.autm.org/surveys/dsp.surveyDetail.cfm?pid=21>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

Auer, M. 1998. Access to genetic resources and benefit sharing. In *Biodiversity: a challenge for development research and policy*, eds. W. Barthlott et al. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Aylward, B. A. 1993. *The economic value of pharmaceutical prospecting and its role in biodiversity conservation*. LEEC paper DP93-103. London: London Environmental Economics Centre.

Aylward, B. A., et al. 1993. *The economic value of species information and its role in biodiversity conservation: case studies of Costa Rica's National Biodiversity Institute and pharmaceutical prospecting*. LEEC paper DP 93-06. London: Environmental Economics Centre.

Bailey, R. G. 1983. Delineation of ecosystem regions. *Environmental Management* 7(4):365-373.

Bailey, R. G. 1989. Explanatory supplement to ecoregions map of the continents. *Environmental Conservation* 16(4):307-309.

Bailey, R. G. 1995. *Descriptions of the ecoregions of the United States*. 2d ed. (1st ed. 1980). Washington, DC: USDA Forest Service.

Baker, J., et al. 1995. Natural product drug discovery and development: new perspectives on international collaboration. *Journal of Natural Products* 58(9):1325-1357.

Balick, M. 1990. Ethnobotany and the identification of therapeutic agents from the rainforest. In *Bioactive compounds from plants*, eds. D. Chadwick et al. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Balick, M., ed. 1996. *Medicinal resources of the tropical forest*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Balick, M., et al. 1992. Assessing the economic value of traditional medicines from tropical rain forests. *Conservation Biology* 6(1):128-139.

- Barbier, E., and B. Aylward. 1996. Capturing the pharmaceutical value of biodiversity in a developing country. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 8:157–181.
- Barton, J., and E. Christensen. 1988. Diversity compensation systems: ways to compensate developing nations for providing genetic material. In *Seeds and sovereignty: the use and control of plant genetic resources*, ed. J.R. Kloppenburg. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Booth, W. 1987. Combing the Earth for cures to cancer, AIDS. *Science* 237:969.
- Bowles, I., et al. 1996. *Encouraging private sector support for biodiversity conservation*. Washington, DC: Conservation International.
- Bull, A. T., et al. 2000. Search and discovery strategies for biotechnology: the paradigm shift. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 64(3):573–606.
- Brock, T. 1997. The value of basic research: discovery of *Thermus aquaticus* and other extreme thermophiles. *Genetics* 146:1207.
- Brown, A. 1989. *The use of plant genetic resources*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, G. Jr. 1990. Valuation of genetic resources. In *The preservation and valuation of biological resources*, eds. H. Orians et al. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Burton, T. 1997. Yellowstone's geysers spout valuable micro-organisms. *Wall Street Journal*, August 11.
- Chadwick, D., et al., eds. 1990. *Bioactive compounds from plants*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Chemical & Engineering News. 1997. Diversa, Yellowstone sign bioprospecting agreement. *Chemical and Engineering News*, August 25:13.
- Chester, C. 1996. *Bioprospecting conservation arrangements and institutional capacity for the protection of biodiversity*. Medford, Mass: Tufts University Press.
- Convention on Biological Diversity. 1992. *Handbook of the Convention on Biological Diversity*. London: Earthscan Publications LTD.
- Cragg, G. 2002. National product drug discovery and development: the United States National Cancer Institute role. *Puerto Rico Health Sciences Journal* 21(2):97–111.
- Dilsaver, L., ed. 1994. *America's national park system: the critical documents*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
- DiMasi, J., et al. 1991. Cost of innovation in the pharmaceutical industry. *Journal of Health Economics* 10:107.
- Doremus, H. 1999. Nature, knowledge and profit: the Yellowstone bioprospecting controversy and the core purposes of America's national parks. *Ecology Law Quarterly* 26(3):401–488.
- Doremus, H. 2004. Contracts for bioprospecting: the Yellowstone National Park experience. In *Microbial diversity and bioprospecting*, ed. A. T. Bull. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology Press.

- Downes, D., et al. 1994. Biodiversity prospecting: rules of the game. *BioScience* 44:381.
- Eisner, T. 1989. Prospecting for nature's chemical riches. *Issues in Science and Technology*, Winter 1989–1990:31.
- Eisner, T. 1991. Chemical prospecting: a proposal for action. In *Ecology economics, ethics: the broken circle*, eds. F.H. Bormann et al. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Eisner, T. 1994. Chemical prospecting: a global imperative. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 138(3):385.
- Farnsworth, N. 1988. Screening plants for new medicines. In *Biodiversity*, ed. E. O. Wilson et al. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Farnsworth, N., and D. Soejarto. 1985. Potential consequences of plant extinction in the United States on the current and future availability of prescription drugs. *Economic Botany* 39(3):231–40.
- Falkner, M. B., and T. J. Stohlgren. 1997. Evaluating the contribution of small national park areas to regional biodiversity. *Natural Areas Journal* 17(4):324–330.
- Fonaroff, A., et al. 1995. Biomedicine, biotechnology, and biodiversity: the western hemisphere experience. *Interciencia* 20(3):125.
- Frisvold, G., et al. 1994. Biodiversity conservation and biotechnology development agreements. *Contemporary Economic Policy* 12(3):1–9.
- Gómez, R. 1991. Biodiversity conservation through facilitation of its sustainable use: Costa Rica's National Biodiversity Institute. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 6(12):377–378.
- Gentry, A. 1993. Tropical forest biodiversity and the potential for new medicinal plants. In *Human medicinal agents from plants*, ed. A. Kinghorn et al. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society.
- Gillett, M. 1992. The role of interpretation in park operations. In *Interpretive skills lesson plans*, Module 101: Fulfilling the NPS Mission: The Process of Interpretation of the NPS Interpretive Development Program (see <<http://www.nps.gov/idp/interp>>).
- Glowka, L. 1995. Determining access to genetic resources and ensuring benefit sharing: legal and institutional considerations for states providing genetic resources. Paper presented to the Global Biodiversity Forum. Jakarta, Indonesia: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources.
- Glowka, L. 1997. Emerging legislative approaches to implement Article 15 of the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law* 3:249.
- Glowka, L. 1998. *A guide to designing legal frameworks to determine access to genetic resources*. Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 34. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.
- Gollin, M. 1991. Using intellectual property to improve environmental protection. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* 4:193.
- Gollin, M. 1994. Patenting recipes from Nature's kitchen. *Biotechnology* 12(4):406–407.
- Gollin, M. 1995. Biological materials transfer agreements. *Biotechnology* 13(3):243–244.

- Gollin, M. 1999. New rules for natural products research. *Nature Biotechnology* 17(9):921–922.
- Gore, A. 1992. Essentials for economic progress: protect biodiversity and intellectual property rights. *The Journal of NIH Research* 4:18.
- Government of Queensland. 2002. *Queensland Biodiscovery Policy Discussion Paper*. Brisbane, Australia: Queensland Government.
- Grifo, F. 1995. Biodiversity conservation: incentives from biomedicine and biotechnology. *Interciencia* 20(4):188.
- Grifo, F., et al., eds. 1996. *Biodiversity and human health*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Grubb, P. 1999. *Patents for chemicals, pharmaceuticals and biotechnology: fundamentals of global law, practice and strategy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Halvorson, W. L., and G. E. Davis, eds. 1996. *Science and ecosystem management in the national parks*. Tucson: The University of Arizona Press.
- Heywood, V. H., ed. 1995. *Global biodiversity assessment*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Heiken, D. 1992. The Pacific yew and Taxol: federal management of an emerging resource. *Journal of Environmental Law and Litigation* 7:175.
- Hodson, T. 1995. Rainforest preservation, markets, and medicinal plants: issues of property rights and present value. *Conservation Biology* 9(5):1319.
- Holzman, D. 1996. Researchers debate tropical prospects for microbial resources. *ASM News* 62(9):453.
- Hull, W. 1998. Yellowstone signs historic bioprospecting agreement. *Biodiversity* 8(1):1–2.
- Hudson, W., ed. 1991. *Landscape linkages and biodiversity*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad. 1991. Summary of terms: collaboration agreement, INBio–Merck & Co., Inc. Santo Domingo de Heredia, Costa Rica: Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad.
- INBio Annual Report, 2001. Available online at <<http://www.inbio.eas.ualberta.ca/es/memorias/Memoria2001/en/indiceen.html>> (homepage at <<http://www.inbio.eas.ualberta.ca/en/default.html>>). Last accessed April 12, 2006.
- INBio Annual Report, 2002. Available online at <<http://www.inbio.eas.ualberta.ca/pdf/Memoria2002.pdf>> (homepage at <<http://www.inbio.eas.ualberta.ca/en/default.html>>). Last accessed April 12, 2006.
- Iwu, M., and S. A. Laird. 1998. Drug development and biodiversity conservation in Africa: case study of a benefit-sharing plan. Available online at <<http://www.biodiv.org/doc/case-studies/abs/cs-abs-icbg-africa.pdf>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.
- JEM Ag Supply dba Farm Advantage v. Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l*, 534 U.S. 124 (2001).
- Karp, A., et al. 1995. Biotechnology, biodiversity, and conservation. *Bio/Technology* 13(5):522.

- Lesser, W. 1998. *Sustainable use of genetic resources under the Convention on Biological Diversity: exploring access and benefit sharing issues*. New York: CAB International.
- Lesser, W. H., and A. F. Krattiger. 1994. The complexities of negotiating terms for germplasm collection. *Diversity* 10(3).
- Littlejohn, M. 1996. Yellowstone National Park visitor study: report 75. Moscow, Id.: University of Idaho/National Park Service.
- Littlejohn, M. 1997. Great Smoky Mountains National Park visitor study, summer 1996: report 88. Moscow, Id.: University of Idaho/National Park Service.
- Macilwain, C. 1998. When rhetoric hits reality in debate on bioprospecting. *Nature* 392:535.
- Madigan, M., and Marrs, B. L. 1997. Extremophiles. *Scientific American* (April):82–87.
- Mateo, N., W. Nader, and G. Tamayo. 2001. Bioprospecting. In *Encyclopedia of biodiversity*. Vol. I. Philadelphia: Academic Press.
- Mays, T. D., et al. 1997. Triangular privity: a working paradigm for the equitable sharing of benefits from biodiversity research and development. Pages 279–298 in *Global genetic resources: access, ownership, and intellectual property rights*, eds. K. E. Hoagland and A. Y. Rossman. Washington, DC: Association of Systematics Collections.
- Mays, T. D., and K. D. Mazan. 1996. Legal issues in sharing the benefits of biodiversity prospecting. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 51:93–109.
- McCurdy, K. 2001. Yosemite Wild Bear Project update. In *American park network guide to Yosemite National Park*. New York: APN Media, LLC.
- McNeely, J. A., et al. 1990. *Conserving the world's biodiversity*. Washington, DC: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, World Resources Institute, Conservation International, World Wildlife Fund-US and the World Bank.
- Mendelsohn, R., et al. 1995. The value of undiscovered pharmaceuticals in tropical forests. *Economic Botany* 49(2):223–228.
- Miller, J., et al. 1992. The discovery of medicines and forest conservation. In *Conservation of plant genes*, ed. P. Adams et al. London: Academic Press.
- Moran, K., et al. 2001. Biodiversity prospecting: lessons and prospects. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 30:505–526.
- Mugabe, M., et al., eds. 1997. *Access to genetic resources: strategies for sharing benefits*. Nairobi, Kenya: African Center for Technology Studies Press.
- Myers, N. 1997. Biodiversity's genetic library. In *Nature's services*, ed. G. Daily. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- National Park Service. 1972. *Part Two of the National Park System Plan Natural History*.
- National Park Service. 1990. *Natural history in the National Park System and on the National Registry of Natural Landmarks*. Natural Resource Report NRS/NR/NRTR–90/03. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior.

- National Park Service. 1999. Natural Resource Challenge: The National Park Service's Action Plan for Preserving Natural Resources. Natural Resource Stewardship and Science. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior.
- National Park Service. 2000. *National Park Service Strategic Plan FY2001–2005*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior, NPS D-1383/August.
- National Park Service. 2006. *NPS Management Policies*. Washington, DC: United States Department of the Interior.
- National Park Service. 2006. *Rev. ed. Museum Handbook*. Washington, DC: United States Department of the Interior.
- Nature*. 1998. The complex realities of sharing genetic assets. *Nature* 392:525.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. 1999. *Handbook of incentive measures for biodiversity: design and implementation*. Paris, France: OECD Publications.
- Pan American Health Organization. 1996. *Biodiversity, biotechnology, and sustainable development in health & agriculture: emerging connections*. Washington, DC: PAHO.
- Plotkin, M. 1988. The outlook for new agricultural and industrial products from the tropics. In *Biodiversity*, ed. E.O. Wilson. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Polasky, S., et al. 1993. Searching for uncertain benefits and the conservation of biological diversity. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 3:171.
- Polasky, S., et al. 1995. On the value of a collection of species. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 29(3):298–303.
- Postgate, J. 1992. *Microbes and man*. 3rd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Public Citizen Health Research Group v. National Institutes of Health*, 209 F.Supp.2d 37 (DDC 2002)
- Querol, D. 1992. *Genetic resources: our forgotten treasure*. Penang, Malaysia: Third World Network.
- Ralls, K., et al. 1989. Protected species: research permits and the value of basic research. *BioScience* 39:394–396.
- Rapoport, A. I. 1999. *How has the field mix of federal research funding changed over the past three decades?* National Science Foundation/Division of Science Resources Studies Issue Brief.
- Rausser, G., et al. 1997. *Bioprospecting with prior ecological information*. Giannini Foundation Working Paper No. 819. University of California–Berkeley.
- Reaka-Kudla, M. L., et al., eds. *Biodiversity II: understanding and protecting our biological resources*. Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press.
- Reid, W., et al., eds. 1993. *Biodiversity prospecting: using genetic resources for sustainable development*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.
- Roberts, L. 1992. Chemical prospecting: hope for vanishing ecosystems? *Science* 256:1142–1143.

- Rodman, A., and K. Maas. 2002. Yellowstone's uncharted territory: the 2002 thermophile survey. *The Buffalo Chip*, Winter, 1–2. On file at Yellowstone Center for Resources Resource Information Office, Yellowstone National Park, Wyo.
- Roggenbuck, J., and D. Berrier. 1982. A comparison of the effectiveness of two communications strategies in dispersing wilderness campers. *Journal of Leisure Research* 14:77–89.
- Rosenthal, J. P., ed. 1999. Drug discovery, economic development and conservation: the international cooperative biodiversity groups. *Pharmaceutical Biology* 37 (supplement).
- Rosenthal, J. 1997. Integrating drug discovery, biodiversity conservation, and economic development: early lessons from the International Cooperative Biodiversity Groups. In *Biodiversity and human health*, ed. F. Grifo et al. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Rubin, S., et al. 1994. Biodiversity prospecting: using innovative contractual provisions to foster ethnobotanical knowledge, technology, and conservation. *Colorado Journal of International Environmental Law and Policy* 5(1):23–58.
- Sanchez, V., and C. Juma, eds. 1994. *Biodiplomacy: genetic resources and international relations*. Nairobi, Kenya: African Center for Technology Studies.
- Sellars, R. 1997. *Preserving nature in the national parks: a history*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Shackelford, B. 2005. *National patterns of research development resources: 2003*, NSF 05-308. Arlington, Va.: National Science Foundation.
- Sidney A. Diamond, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, v. Ananda M. Chakrabarty, et al.* 447 U.S. 303 (1980).
- Sittenfeld, A., and A. Lovejoy. 1994. Biodiversity prospecting. *Our Planet* 6(4):20–21
- Sittenfeld, A., and A. Lovejoy. 1995. INBio's biodiversity prospecting program: generating economic returns for biodiversity conservation. In *Final compendium for a practical workshop on biodiversity prospecting for Cameroon, Madagascar and Ghana*. Santo Domingo de Heredia, Costa Rica: Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad.
- Swanson, T., ed. 1995. *Intellectual property rights and biodiversity conservation: an interdisciplinary analysis of the values of medicinal plants*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Szaro, R. C., and D. W. Johnston, eds. 1996. *Biodiversity in managed landscapes: theory and practice*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- ten Kate, K., and A. Wells. 1998. The access and benefit-sharing policies of the United States National Cancer Institute: a comparative account of the discovery and development of the drugs Calanolide and Topotecan. Available online at <<http://www.biodiv.org/doc/case-studies/abs/cs-abs-nci.pdf>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.
- ten Kate, K., and S. A. Laird. 2000. *The commercial use of biodiversity: access to genetic resources and benefit-sharing*. London: Earthscan Publications, Ltd.
- Thompson, S. C., and K. McCurdy. 1995. Black bear management in Yosemite National

Park: more a people management problem. Pages 105–114 in J. Auger and H. L. Black, eds., *Proceedings of the fifth western black bear workshop: human–black bear interactions*. Provo: Brigham Young University Press.

United Nations Convention on Biodiversity. 1998. Synthesis of case studies on benefit-sharing. In *Report of the fourth meeting of the conference of the parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity*, May 4–15, Bratislava, Slovakia. U.N. Doc. UNEP/CBD/COP/4/Inf.7. Available online at <<http://www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/cop/cop-04/official/cop-04-27-en.pdf>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

United Nations Convention on Biodiversity. 2002. Decision VI/24, Bonn Guidelines on access to genetic resources and fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of their utilization. In *Report of the sixth meeting of the conference of the parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity*, April 7–19, The Hague, The Netherlands. U.N. Doc. UNEP/CBD/COP/6/20. Available online at <<http://www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/cop/cop-06/official/cop-06-20-en.pdf>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

United Nations Convention on Biodiversity. 1996. Fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the use of genetic resources. In *Report of the third meeting of the conference of the parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity*, November 4–15, Buenos Aires, Argentina. U.N. Doc. UNEP/CBD/COP/3/38. Available online at <<http://www.biodiv.org/doc/meetings/cop/cop-03/official/cop-03-38-en.pdf>>. Last accessed April 12, 2006.

United Nations Environment Programme. 1993. Global biodiversity. Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP.

U.S. Department of Commerce. 2000. *Technology transfer 2000: making partnerships work*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.

U.S. Department of Commerce. 2002. *Recent trends in federal lab technology transfer: fy 1999–2000 biennial report*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.

U.S. Department of Commerce. 2002. *Summary report on federal laboratory technology transfer*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.

U.S. Office of Management and Budget. 1995. *Management Accountability and Control*. OMB Circular No. A-123. *Federal Register* 60(125):33879–33872.

United States, Committee on Improving the Science and Technology Programs of the National Park Service, *Science and the National Parks* (Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 1992).

Vallejo, N., et al., eds. *Measures to control access and promote benefit sharing: a selection of case studies*. Gland, Switzerland: World Wildlife Fund.

Vander Stoep, G., and J. Gramann. 1987. The effect of verbal appeals and incentives on depreciative behavior among youth park visitors. *Journal of Leisure Research* 19(2):69–83.

Wallace, D. 1992. *The quetzal and the macaw: the story of Costa Rica's national parks*. San Francisco: Sierra Club.

Wiegel, J., and L. G. Ljungdahl. 1984. The importance of thermophilic bacteria in biotechnology. *CRC Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 3(1):39–107.

- Widner, C. 2000. Reducing theft of petrified wood at Petrified Forest National Park. *Journal of Interpretation Research* 5(1):1–18.
- Wilson, E. O. 1984. *Biophilia*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Wilson, E. O., ed. 1988. *Biodiversity*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Wilson, E. O. 1992. *The diversity of life*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.
- Wolf, R. 1994. Yellowstone discovery: should U.S. get profits? *San Jose Mercury News*. July 25. 8F.
- World Resources Institute et al. 1992. *Global biodiversity strategy*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, World Conservation Union–IUCN, and United Nations Environment Programme.