

CAHA Negotiated Rulemaking
Proposed Meeting Work Plan*
January 2008

MEETING #1 (JANUARY 2008)

- Review and discuss charter and charge, as needed
- Finalize Groundrules
- Review and discuss economic analysis, with the contractor, as needed
- Negotiate principles to frame/shape the ultimate regulations
- Review preliminary alternatives options developed by NPS for public meetings
- Form subcommittees, as appropriate, to develop options for committee consideration, for example ---
 - Managing ORV Use
 - Managing Driving
 - Managing Closures
 - Managing User Conflicts
 - Managing Compliance and Enforcement
 - Economic Analysis and Other Studies

MEETING #2 (FEBRUARY 2008)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process, including public comments from scoping and options meetings
- Review principles to frame/shape future regulations
- Review NPS' process for identifying preferred alternative, environmentally preferred alternative, and evaluating NEPA 101(b) criteria, and discuss implications for committee
- Review and discuss economic impacts study, with the contractor, as needed
- Report back from Subcommittees

MEETING #3 (MAY 2008)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process
- Review impacts analysis, to extent completed, on "no action" alternative
- Review and discuss economic/regulatory impacts analysis, with the contractor, as needed
- Consider, weigh, and compare alternatives
- Narrow alternatives focus, if possible
- Report back from Subcommittees

MEETING #4 (FALL 2008)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process

* Please note: this work plan is intended to coordinate with the NEPA process. All activities and dates are tentative, depending on the committee's progress and the NEPA schedule.

- Review 1 to 2 alternatives (or narrow to 1 to 2 if not accomplished at meeting #3)
- Review and discuss preliminary impacts analysis of alternatives
- Identify and begin discussing detailed issues related to regulations that would flow from the alternatives and develop options
- Further report back from subcommittees, as needed
- Begin to identify mitigation for adverse effects
- Seek to reach agreement on a preferred solution (consensus preferred alternative)
- Breakout into new subgroups or committees, as needed

MEETING #5 (NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2008)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process
- Review and discuss preliminary impacts analysis of alternatives
- Further discuss detailed regulatory issues and options
- Seek to prioritize and narrow options for consensus alternative
- Discuss mitigation package for adverse effects
- Identify final outstanding items for further subcommittee work as needed

MEETING #6 (WINTER 2009)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process
- Seek agreement on consensus alternative
- Discuss mitigation package for adverse effects
- Discuss what, if anything, to report as a committee to NPS if no consensus

MEETING #7 (WINTER/SPRING 2009) (regulatory writer present)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process
- Seek agreement on consensus alternative
- Review, revise, and seek to finalize draft proposed regulation
- Determine what, if anything, to report as a committee to NPS if no consensus
- Post meeting, draft proposed regs with assistance from neutrals

MEETING #8 (SPRING 2009, if needed) (regulatory writer present)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process
- Review, revise, and finalize draft proposed regulation
- Further consideration of what to report as a committee to NPS if no consensus, as needed

MEETING #9 (DECEMBER 2009/EARLY 2010, following publication of DEIS)

- Review and discuss action items and meeting summary from previous meeting
- Update on NEPA process
- Review public comments on preferred alternative and DEIS
- Review, revise, and finalize consensus alternative
- Review, revise, and finalize draft proposed regulation
- Discuss report to NPS if consensus is reached
- Further consideration of what to report as a committee to NPS if no consensus, as needed

