


Hoh Alternative B - Resource Protection Emphasis



Legend

- | | |
|---|--|
| Low Use Zone | NPS Boundary |
| Development Zone | Trail |
| River Zone | Paved road |
| NPS Wilderness | Unpaved road |
| Proposed NPS Boundary Adjustment | |




1. Year-round road access would be provided as long as river meander processes did not make access infeasible. Then, options would be explored for alternative access, and could include a primitive road, mandatory transit, multi-purpose trails, etc.
2. Existing facilities would be retained until threatened by river movement; then options would be explored to relocate

- the facilities including the visitor center, outside the park boundary if feasible.
3. Campground facilities would be reduced to provide more primitive (walk-in) camping.
4. The frontcountry trail system would be reduced.

5. An optional seasonal transit system would be studied, and implemented if feasible. Transit/visitor center/day use parking would be developed outside the park.
6. The boundary would be adjusted to protect elk habitat and fisheries resources in the Hoh floodplain.

Hoh Alternative C - Visitor Opportunities Emphasis



Legend

 Low Use Zone	 NPS Boundary
 Day Use Zone	 Trail
 Development Zone	 Paved road
 NPS Wilderness	 Unpaved road



1. Year-round road access would be improved. Road access would be relocated out of the river meander zone to a more sustainable location.

If road relocation away from river meander areas is feasible, wilderness boundary modifications would be sought as necessary.

2. The visitor center would be redesigned and relocated, if feasible, out of the

floodplain at the east end of the road or possibly outside of the park boundary. Other existing facilities would be moved out of floodplain.

3. Campground facilities would be relocated out of the floodplain.

4. The frontcountry trail system would be increased and/or improved including upgrading an existing trail to universally accessible trail standards.

Park trails would connect with regional trail systems.

5. An optional seasonal transit system would be studied, and implemented if feasible. Transit/day use parking would be developed outside the park in conjunction with a relocated visitor center.

6. Proactive partnerships would be sought to protect elk habitat and fisheries outside the park boundary.

